arXiv:math/0509106v1 [math.RA] 5 Sep 2005

Comatrix Corings and Galois Comodules over firm rings

J. Gómez-Torrecillas
Departamento de Álgebra
Universidad de Granada
E-18071 Granada, Spain
e-mail: gomezj@ugr.es

J. Vercruysse
Faculty of Engineering
Vrije Universiteit Brussel, VUB
B-1015 Brussels, Belgium
e-mail: jvercruy@vub.ac.be

Introduction

Galois corings with a group-like element [4] provide a neat framework to understand the analogies between several theories like the Faithfully Flat Descent for (noncommutative) ring extensions [26], Hopf-Galois algebra extensions [27], or noncommutative Galois algebra extensions [23, 15]. A Galois coring is isomorphic in a canonical way to the Sweedler's canonical coring $A \otimes_B A$ associated to a ring extension $B \subseteq A$, where B is a subring of "coinvariants". Canonical means here that the group-like of the Galois coring corresponds to the group-like $1 \otimes_B 1$ of $A \otimes_B A$, where 1 denotes the unit element of the ring A. Canonical corings were used in [29] to formulate a predual to the Jacobson-Bourbaki theorem for division rings.

Comatrix corings were introduced in [16] to make out the structure of cosemisimple corings over an arbitrary ground ring A [16, Theorem 4.4]. The construction has been used in different "Galois-type" contexts, where no group-like element is available, as the characterization of corings having a projective generator [16, Theorem 3.2]; the tightly related non-commutative descent for modules [16, Theorem 3.2,Theorem 3.10], [9, Theorem 3.7, Theorem 3.8, Theorem 3.9], [8, 18.27]; the formulation of a predual to the Jacobson-Bourbaki correspondence for simple artinian rings [13]; or the construction of a Brauer Group using corings [11]. The original definition of a comatrix coring was built on a bimodule Σ over unital rings B and A such that Σ_A is finitely generated and projective. This finiteness condition seems to be, at a first glance, essential to define comatrix corings and to introduce the notion of a Galois coring (see [6]). Nevertheless, it was soon discovered [17] that the concepts and results from [16, §2, §3], including comatrix and Galois corings (or Galois comodules, as in [8, 5]) and the Descent Theorem, can be developed for a functor from a small category to a category of finitely generated and projective modules. These "infinite" comatrix corings have been recently constructed in a more general functorial setting in [14].

In this paper we recover the idea of focusing on a single bimodule, relaxing the condition on the existence of a unity in the rings. This allows the definition of a concept of comatrix coring which embodies all former constructions and, what is more interesting, leads to the formulation of a notion of Galois coring and the statement of a Faithfully Flat Descent Theorem that generalize the aforementioned previous versions.

The paper is organized as follows. After fixing some basic notations and concepts in Section 1, we state in Section 2 some adjunctions between categories of modules which will be used later. These adjunctions, and the construction of the comatrix coring in Section 3, start from a homomorphism of non unital rings $\iota : R \to S$, where R is a firm ring, and the ring $S = \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma'$ is built from two bimodules ${}_B\Sigma_A$ and ${}_A\Sigma'_B$ over unital rings A, B, and a homomophism of A–bimodules $\mu : \Sigma' \otimes_B \Sigma \to A$. The "finite" comatrix corings from [16] fit in this framework taking $B = R$ and $\Sigma' = \Sigma^* = \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, A)$ since, in that case, $S \cong \mathrm{End}(\Sigma_A)$ because Σ_A is assumed to be finitely generated and projective. In the present approach, this finiteness condition is replaced by the requirement on Σ of being firm as a left R–module. The new framework requires a different approach (including proofs) than that of [16] or even [17]. As a counterweight for this technical effort, the range of situations covered is sensibly wider, as will be shown in sections 5 and 6. Thus, theorems 5.1 and 5.2 improve the main results of [17, Section 5], and the equivalence between the categories of right $\mathfrak{C}$–comodules and of firm right modules over the ring with local units $({}^*\mathfrak{C})^{\mathrm{rat}}$ given in [2, 12] is deduced from our general results.

Section 4 contains the main results of the paper. Starting from an $R - \mathfrak{C}$–bicomodule Σ, where R is a firm ring, and $\mathfrak{C}$ is an A–coring, and assuming that ${}_R\Sigma$ is firm, we prove that there is a canonical map $\mathsf{can} : \Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma \to \mathfrak{C}$ defined on the comatrix coring $\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$, which is a homomorphism of A–corings (Proposition 4.3). This canonical map allows to consider not necessarily finitely generated Galois comodules (Definition 4.7), generalizing the former notions from [8, 16, 17]. This definition of a Galois comodule, far from being merely a formal generalization, plays a central role in the formulation of a "Flat Descent Theory" for comodules, as stated in theorems 4.9 and 4.15.

1 Basic notation

Let K be a commutative ring with unity. Our additive categories and our functors will be K–linear. The identity arrow at an object X of a category will be denoted by X.

All bimodules over K are assumed to be centralized by K. The term ring refers to an associative ring, although we do not require to our rings to have a unity. All rings will be assumed to be K–rings, in the sense that they are (unital) K–bimodules, with a K–bilinear product. Rings with unity are then K–algebras. Modules over rings with unity are assumed to be unital. If A is a ring with unity, then by an A–ring we will understand an A–bimodule R endowed with an associative multiplication defined by an A–bimodule homomorphism $\nabla : R \otimes_A R \to R$. Associativity means here that $\nabla \circ (\nabla \otimes_A R) = \nabla \circ (R \otimes_A \nabla)$.

Dually, an A–coring is an A–bimodule $\mathfrak{C}$ endowed with a coassociative comultiplication defined by a homomorphism of A–bimodules $\Delta : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}$. Coassociativity means here that $(\Delta \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \circ \Delta = (\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \Delta) \circ \Delta$. Our corings will always have a *counity*, that is, an A–bimodule homomorphism $\epsilon : \mathfrak{C} \to A$ such that $(\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \epsilon) \circ \Delta = (\epsilon \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \circ \Delta = \mathfrak{C}$. We have made the identifications $A \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \cong \mathfrak{C} \cong \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A A$, thought that the A–bimodules are assumed to be unital over A. We will use Heyneman-Sweedler notation for the comultiplication, namely, $\Delta(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes_A c_{(2)}$ (sum is understood) for $c \in \mathfrak{C}$. By $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ we denote the category of right comodules over an A–coring $\mathfrak{C}$. A quick introduction to the basic properties of this category that we will need may be found in [21]. A more comprehensive account is [8]. Heyneman-Sweedler notation for comodules reads $\rho(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes_A m_{[1]}$ for m in a right comodule M with coaction $\rho : M \to M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R$ denote the category of right modules over an associative ring R. The category $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R$ is a Grothendieck category, being limits and colimits already computed in the category Ab of abelian groups (the category $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R$ is in fact isomorphic to the category of unital right modules over a ring extension with unity defined in a straightforward way on the K–bimodule $R \oplus K$). The tensor product functor $- \otimes_R R : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R \to \mathsf{Ab}$ is right exact. When this functor is exact, we say that ${}_R R$ is *flat*. More generally, a left R–module M is *flat* when the functor $- \otimes_R M : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R \to \mathsf{Ab}$ is exact.

For every right R–module M, let

$$\varpi_M : M \otimes_R R \longrightarrow M \qquad\qquad (m \otimes_R r \mapsto mr) \tag{1}$$

denote the "multiplication map", which is a homomorphism of right R–modules. The product of R can be understood as $\varpi_R : R \otimes_R R \to R$. It is a homomorphism of R–bimodules.

A right R–module M is said to be *firm* if ϖ_M is an isomorphism. When $M = R$, we will speak of a *firm ring*. According to [7], this terminology is due to D. Quillen. Firm modules are called regular modules in [22], where their Morita theory is developed. By $\mathcal{M}_R$ we denote the full subcategory of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R$ whose objects are the firm right R–modules. It is known ([22, Corollary 1.3],[24, Proposition 2.7]) that $\mathcal{M}_R$ is abelian if R is firm. In both cases, the proof is indirect, namely, $\mathcal{M}_R$ is shown to be equivalent to a certain abelian category. In particular, due essentially to the lack of left exactness of $- \otimes_R R$, kernels cannot be in general computed in Ab. The situation is simpler if, in addition, ${}_R R$ is assumed to be flat: $\mathcal{M}_R$ is then easily shown to be a Grothendieck category, where coproducts, kernels and cokernels are already computed in Ab. A generator for $\mathcal{M}_R$ is given by the firm right R–module R. When R has a unity, then $\mathcal{M}_R$ becomes the category of unital right R–modules in the usual sense.

If M is a firm right R–module and $d_M : M \to M \otimes_R R$ is the inverse map of the multiplication map $\varpi_R : M \otimes_R R \to M$, then we will use the notation $d_M(m) = m^r \otimes_R r \in M \otimes_R R$ (sum is understood). Of course, this element in the tensor product is determined by the condition $m^r r = m$. An analogous notation will be used for firm left R–modules.

Examples of firm rings are rings with (idempotent) local units, such as the ones in [1] and [3]. A comparison of different notions of rings with local units may be found in [30].

2 Some adjoint pairs for modules

Let A, B denote rings with unity, and consider bimodules ${}_B\Sigma_A$, ${}_A\Sigma'_B$, and a homomorphism of A–bimodules

$$\mu : \Sigma' \otimes_B \Sigma \to A.$$

We have then a structure of B–ring on $S = \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma'$, with the multiplication defined by the composite map

$$\nabla : \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma' \otimes_B \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma' \xrightarrow{\mu} \Sigma \otimes_A A \otimes_A \Sigma' \longrightarrow \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma' .$$

This multiplication, given explicitly on elements by the rule

$$\nabla(x \otimes_A \phi \otimes_B y \otimes_A \psi) = x\mu(\phi \otimes_B y) \otimes_A \psi = x \otimes_A \mu(\phi \otimes_B y)\psi, \tag{2}$$

is easily shown to be associative. Moreover, the map $S \to \mathrm{End}(\Sigma_A)$ that sends $\phi\otimes_A x$ to the endomorphism $y \mapsto x\mu(\phi \otimes_B y)$ is a homomorphism of B–rings. In particular, Σ becomes an $S - A$–bimodule. Analogously, the B–ring homomorphism $S \to \mathrm{End}({}_A\Sigma')$ which maps $y \otimes_A \psi$ onto the endomorphism $\phi \mapsto \mu(\phi \otimes_B y)\psi$ is a homomorphism of B–rings and, thus, Σ' becomes an $A - S$–bimodule.

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\mu(\phi s \otimes_B x) = \mu(\phi \otimes_B sx) \tag{3}$$

for every $s \in S$. This means that we can consider the A–bimodule homomorphism

$$\mu : \Sigma' \otimes_S \Sigma \to A, \qquad (\phi \otimes_S x \mapsto \mu(\phi \otimes_B x)). \tag{4}$$

Now, consider a ring homomorphism $\iota : R \to S = \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma'$, where R is any ring (thus, we are not assuming a unity). If $r \in R$, we will write $\iota(r) = e_r \otimes_A \varphi_r \in \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma'$ (sum is understood) for its image in S. The left S–module structure of Σ induces a left R–module structure given explicitly by the formula

$$ru = e_r\mu(\varphi_r \otimes_B u), \qquad (r \in R, u \in \Sigma). \tag{5}$$

In this way, the A–bimodule map (4) induces a homomorphism of A–bimodules

$$\mu : \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma \to A, \qquad (\phi \otimes_R x \mapsto \mu(\phi \otimes_S x)). \tag{6}$$

Therefore, the equality

$$ru = e_r\mu(\varphi_r \otimes_R u), \qquad (r \in R, u \in \Sigma) \tag{7}$$

is deduced from (5).

There is an adjoint pair of functors

$$\begin{tikzcd} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R \arrow[r, shift left, "- \otimes_R \Sigma"] & \mathcal{M}_A \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, -)"] \end{tikzcd}, \qquad - \otimes_R \Sigma \dashv \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, -) , \tag{8}$$

with unit and counit given, respectively, by

$$\nu_N : N \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, N \otimes_R \Sigma), \qquad \nu_N(n)(u) = n \otimes_R u$$

and

$$\zeta_M : \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M) \otimes_R \Sigma \longrightarrow M, \qquad \zeta(\phi \otimes_R u) = \phi(u)\ .$$

Now, if R is a firm ring, then there is an adjoint pair

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{M}_R \arrow[r, shift left, "J"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R, \arrow[l, shift left, "-\otimes_R R"] \end{tikzcd} \qquad J \dashv - \otimes_R R\,, \tag{9}$$

where J is the inclusion functor. The counit of this adjunction is given by the multiplication map $\varpi_M : M \otimes_R R \to M$, and the unit is given by its inverse $d_N : N \to N \otimes_R R$ when N is firm. As a consequence, the functor $- \otimes_R R$ is left exact. We have then that $- \otimes_R R : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R \to \mathsf{Ab}$ is exact (that is, ${}_R R$ is flat) if and only if the inclusion functor J is exact (since the forgetful functor $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R \to \mathsf{Ab}$ is faithful and exact).

By composing the adjunctions (8) and (9) we obtain the adjoint pair

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{M}_R \arrow[r, shift left, "-\otimes_R\Sigma"] & \mathcal{M}_A, \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma,-)\otimes_R R"] \end{tikzcd} \qquad - \otimes_R \Sigma \dashv \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, -) \otimes_R R \tag{10}$$

We shall need the explicit expression for its unit

$$\eta_N : N \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, N \otimes_R \Sigma) \otimes_R R, \qquad \eta_N(n) = \nu_N(n^r) \otimes_R r, \tag{11}$$

and its counit

$$\pi_M : \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M) \otimes_R R \otimes_R \Sigma \longrightarrow M, \qquad \pi_M(f \otimes_R r \otimes_R u) = f(ru)\ . \tag{12}$$

For our purposes it is interesting to recognize the former right adjoint functor as a tensor-product functor. As in the case of Σ, the right S–module structure on Σ' induces a structure of right R–module on Σ' that satisfies

$$\phi r = \mu(\phi \otimes_R e_r)\varphi_r \tag{13}$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\iota : R \to \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma'$ be a homomorphism of rings. Consider the $A-R$–bimodule $\Sigma^\dagger = \Sigma' \otimes_R R$. If R is a firm ring and Σ is firm as a left R–module, then there exists a natural isomorphism $\alpha : \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, -) \otimes_R R \simeq - \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger$. In particular, we have the adjoint pair*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{M}_R \arrow[r, shift left, "-\otimes_R\Sigma"] & \mathcal{M}_A, \arrow[l, shift left, "-\otimes_A\Sigma^\dagger"] \end{tikzcd} \qquad - \otimes_R \Sigma \dashv - \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger \tag{14}$$

Proof. For $M \in \mathcal{M}_A$ define

$$\alpha_M : \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M) \otimes_R R \longrightarrow M \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger, \qquad \alpha_M(h \otimes_R r) = h(e_s) \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s\ .$$

A straightforward computation shows that α_M is natural in M, and that its inverse is given by

$$\alpha_M^{-1} : M \otimes_R \Sigma^\dagger \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M) \otimes_R R, \qquad \alpha_M^{-1}(m \otimes_A \phi \otimes_R r) = h \otimes_R r,$$

where $h(u) = m\mu(\phi \otimes_R u)$. $\square$

It is convenient for our purposes to have an explicit expression for the adjointness isomorphism, the unit and the counit of the adjoint pair (14). The first is given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(N \otimes_R \Sigma, M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, M \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger) \tag{15}$$

$$f \longmapsto (n \mapsto f(n^r \otimes_R e_s) \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s),$$

the counit by

$$\delta_M : M \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \longrightarrow M \qquad (m \otimes_A \phi \otimes_R r \otimes_R x \mapsto m\mu(\phi \otimes_R rx)), \tag{16}$$

and the unit by

$$\eta_M : N \longrightarrow N \otimes_R \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger \qquad (n \mapsto n^r \otimes_R e_s \otimes_A \phi_s \otimes_R r^s)$$

3 Comatrix corings

Keep the notations of Section 2. We thus consider a ring homomorphism $\iota : R \to S = \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma'$, where the structure of ring of $\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma'$ is given by (2). We assume that R is a firm ring, and that A is a ring with unity. Recall that, for a firm left R–module M, $d_M : M \to R \otimes_R M$ denotes the inverse of the multiplication map, and we use the notation $d_M(m) = r \otimes_R m^r$.

Let M be an R–A–bimodule which has a structure of a right comodule over an A–coring $\mathfrak{C}$. We will say that M is an $R-\mathfrak{C}$–bicomodule if the structure map $\rho_M : M \to M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}$ is left R–linear. The following generalizes the construction of comatrix corings given in [16].

Theorem 3.1. *If ${}_R\Sigma$ is firm as a left R–module, then $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$ is an A–coring with comultiplication*

$$\Delta : \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma \xrightarrow{\Sigma' \otimes_R d_\Sigma} \Sigma' \otimes_R R \otimes_R \Sigma \xrightarrow{\Sigma' \otimes_R \iota \otimes_R \Sigma} \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$$

and counity

$$\mu : \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma \longrightarrow A$$

Moreover, Σ is an $R-\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$–bicomodule, and $\Sigma^\dagger = \Sigma' \otimes_R R$ is a $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma - R$–bicomodule with the coactions

$$\rho_\Sigma : \Sigma \xrightarrow{d_\Sigma} R \otimes_R \Sigma \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes_R \Sigma} \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$$

and

$$\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger} : \Sigma' \otimes_R R \xrightarrow{\Sigma' \otimes_R d_R} \Sigma' \otimes_R R \otimes_R R \xrightarrow{\Sigma' \otimes_R \iota \otimes_R R} \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma' \otimes_R R$$

Proof. For $\phi \otimes_R u \in \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$ we have

$$\Delta(\phi \otimes_R u) = \phi \otimes_R e_r \otimes_A \varphi_r \otimes_R u^r \tag{17}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma \otimes_A \Delta)\Delta(\phi \otimes_R u) &= (\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma \otimes_A \Delta)(\phi \otimes_R e_r \otimes_A \varphi_r \otimes_R u^r) \\
&= \phi \otimes_R e_r \otimes_A \varphi_r \otimes_A e_t \otimes_A \varphi_t \otimes_R u^{rt} \\
&= (\Sigma' \otimes_R \iota \otimes_R \iota \otimes_R \Sigma)(\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_R t \otimes_R u^{rt}) \\
&= (\Sigma' \otimes_R \iota \otimes_R \iota \otimes_R \Sigma)(\phi \otimes_R sr^s \otimes_R t \otimes_R u^{rt}) \\
&= (\Sigma' \otimes_R \iota \otimes_R \iota \otimes_R \Sigma)(\phi \otimes_R s \otimes_R r^s t \otimes_R u^{rt}) \\
&= (\Sigma' \otimes_R \iota \otimes_R \iota \otimes_R \Sigma)(\phi \otimes_R s \otimes_R r^s \otimes_R tu^{rt}) \\
&= (\Sigma' \otimes_R \iota \otimes_R \iota \otimes_R \Sigma)(\phi \otimes_R s \otimes_R r^s \otimes_R u^r).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta \otimes_A \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma)\Delta(\phi \otimes_R u) &= (\Delta \otimes_A \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma)(\phi \otimes_R e_r \otimes_A \varphi_r \otimes_R u^r) \\
&= \phi \otimes_R e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R {e_r}^s \otimes_A \varphi_r \otimes_R u^r
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, what we need to check to prove that Δ is coassociative is that

$$(\iota \otimes_R \iota)(s \otimes_R r^s) = e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R {e_r}^s \otimes_A \varphi_r. \tag{18}$$

To prove (18) let $\varpi_\Sigma : R \otimes_R \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be the left action map, and $\varpi_R : R \otimes_R R \to R$ the multiplication map. It follows from (7) that

$$\nabla \circ (\iota \otimes_R R) = \varpi_\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma$$

Since ι is an algebra map, we deduce

$$\iota\, \varpi_R = (\varpi_\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma')(R \otimes_R \iota). \tag{19}$$

Now, both R and Σ are firm, which allows to rewrite (19) as

$$(d_\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma')\, \iota = (R \otimes_R \iota)\, d_R$$

from which (18) follows by composing with $\iota \otimes_R \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma'$ on the left. The counitary property follows easily from (7) and (13). The arguments to prove that ρ_Σ and $\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}$ are comodule structure maps are similar. To check this, it is useful to use the following expressions for the right and left coactions:

$$\rho_\Sigma(u) = e_r \otimes_A \varphi_r \otimes_R u^r \tag{20}$$

and

$$\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}(\phi \otimes_R r) = \phi \otimes_R e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s$$

Obviously, they are R–linear. □

Definition 3.2. We refer to the A–coring $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$ as the *comatrix coring* associated to the ring homomorphism $\iota : R \to \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma'$, the A–bimodule map $\mu : \Sigma' \otimes_B \Sigma \to A$, and the firm module ${}_R\Sigma$.

Remark 3.3. Starting from $\mu : \Sigma' \otimes_B \Sigma \to A$ and $\iota : R \to \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma'$ and assuming Σ' to be firm as a right R–module, an A-coring structure is defined on $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$ whose comultiplication is given by

$$\Delta'(\phi \otimes_R u) = \phi^r \otimes_R e_r \otimes_A \varphi_r \otimes_R u,$$

and with counity $\mu : \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma \to A$. A straightforward version of Theorem 3.1 shows that Σ' is a left comodule, with coaction

$$\lambda_{\Sigma'}(\phi) = \phi^r \otimes_R e_r \otimes_A \varphi_r \tag{21}$$

and ${}^\dagger\Sigma = R \otimes_R \Sigma$ is a right comodule, with coaction

$$\rho_{{}^\dagger\Sigma}(r \otimes_R u) = s \otimes_R e_{r^s} \otimes_A \varphi_{r^s} \otimes_R u,$$

where $r = sr^s$. Here, ${}_R\Sigma$ is not assumed to be firm.

When both modules ${}_R\Sigma$ and Σ'_R are firm, it is equivalent to construct the comatrix coring using the “firmeness” of Σ or Σ', as the following proposition establishes.

Proposition 3.4. *If ${}_R\Sigma$ and Σ'_R are assumed to be firm, then $\Delta = \Delta'$, and the isomorphism $d_{\Sigma'} : \Sigma' \cong \Sigma' \otimes_R R = \Sigma^\dagger$ (resp. $d_\Sigma : \Sigma \cong R \otimes_R \Sigma = {}^\dagger\Sigma$) is an isomorphism of $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma - R$–bicomodules (resp. of $R - \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$–bicomodules).*

Proof. To prove that $\Delta = \Delta'$ it is enough to check that for every $\phi \otimes_R u \in \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$ one has

$$\phi^r \otimes_R r \otimes_R u = \phi \otimes_R r \otimes_R u^r$$

But this equality follows by applying the isomorphism $\Sigma' \otimes_R \varpi_\Sigma = \varpi_{\Sigma'} \otimes_R \Sigma$ to both members. To show that $d_{\Sigma'}$ is an isomorphism of left $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$–comodules we have to check the equality

$$\phi^r \otimes_R e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s = \phi^r \otimes_R e_r \otimes_A (\varphi_r)^s \otimes_R s \tag{22}$$

Equality (22) holds whenever it gives a correct equality after applying the isomorphism $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma \otimes_A \varpi_{\Sigma'}$. But the obtained equality then reads

$$\phi^r \otimes_R e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s r^s = \phi^r \otimes_R e_r \otimes_A (\varphi_r)^s s,$$

which is correct by (7). An analogous argument shows that d_Σ is an isomorphism of $R - \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$–bicomodules. □

Recall from [6] the notion of a comatrix coring context, consisting of two rings (with unit) A, B, an $A-B$-bimodule Σ', a $B-A$-bimodule Σ and two bimodule maps

$$\iota : B \to \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma' \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon : \Sigma' \otimes_B \Sigma \to A,$$

such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma' \otimes_B \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma' \arrow[r, "\varepsilon \otimes_A \Sigma'"] & A \otimes_A \Sigma' \arrow[d] & & \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma' \otimes_B \Sigma \arrow[d, "\Sigma \otimes_A \varepsilon"] & R \otimes_B \Sigma \arrow[l, "\iota \otimes_B \Sigma"'] \arrow[d] \\
\Sigma' \otimes_B B \arrow[u, "\Sigma' \otimes_B \iota"] \arrow[r] & \Sigma' & & \Sigma \otimes_A A \arrow[r] & \Sigma
\end{tikzcd} \tag{23}$$

It follows [6, Theorem 2.4] that $\Sigma' \otimes_B \Sigma$ is a coring, Σ is finitely generated and projective as a right A-module and Σ' is isomorphic to Σ^*. However, once we replace in this construction the unital ring B by a firm ring R, and we assume that Σ and Σ' are firm as R-modules, we can still construct the coring $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$ as before, but there is no need anymore for Σ to be finitely generated and projective as right A-module. It is straightforward to check that the comatrix coring as constructed from the comatrix coring context is exactly the comatrix coring from Proposition 3.4.

Example 3.5. If Σ is a $B-A$–bimodule such that Σ_A is finitely generated and projective, and $\{(e_i, e_i^*)\} \subseteq \Sigma \times \Sigma^*$ is a finite dual basis for Σ, then the canonical ring homomorphism $\iota : B \to \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^*$ given by $\iota(b) = be_i \otimes_A e_i^* = e_i \otimes_A e_i^* b$ (sum is understood) induces on $\Sigma^* \otimes_B \Sigma$, in virtue of Theorem 3.1, a structure of A–coring. Here, the A–bimodule map $\mu : \Sigma^* \otimes_B \Sigma \to A$ is just the evaluation map. These corings were introduced in [16]. We call them *finite* comatrix corings.

Example 3.6. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a set of finitely generated and projective right modules over a ring A, and consider $\Sigma = \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P$. For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ let $\iota_P : P \to \Sigma$ and $\pi_P : \Sigma \to P$ be the canonical injection and projection, respectively. Then the elements $u_P = \iota_P \pi_P$ with $P \in \mathcal{P}$ form a set of orthogonal idempotents in $\mathrm{End}(\Sigma_A)$. Consider any homomorphism of rings $T \to \mathrm{End}(\Sigma_A)$, and let $R = \sum_{P,Q \in \mathcal{P}} u_P T u_Q$, which is a (non unital) subring of the image of T in $\mathrm{End}(\Sigma_A)$. Consider the unique homomorphism of rings $\iota : R \to \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^*$ defined on each $u_P T u_Q$ by the composite map

$$u_P T u_Q \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(Q, P) \xrightarrow{\simeq} P \otimes_A Q^* \subseteq \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^*,$$

where the first map assigns $\pi_P f \iota_Q$ to every $f \in u_P T u_Q$. Clearly the ring R has enough idempotents, which implies that R is a firm ring. Moreover, Σ is easily shown to be a firm left R–module, with the left R–action given by the restriction of its canonical structure of left T–module. Therefore, the comatrix A–coring $\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$ stated in Theorem 3.1 makes sense. We will see in Section 5 that this construction is tightly related to the *infinite comatrix corings* from [17].

An explicit expression for the comultiplication of $\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$ in this case is obtained as follows. For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, let $\{e_{\alpha_P}, e^*_{\alpha_P}\}$ be a finite dual basis for P_A. Then

$$\iota(u_P) = \sum_{\alpha_P} \iota_P(e_{\alpha_P}) \otimes_R e^*_{\alpha_P} \pi_P,$$

identity from which, in conjunction with (17), we easily deduce, for $\phi \in \Sigma^*$ and $x \in \Sigma$, that

$$\Delta(\phi \otimes_R x) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{F}} \phi \otimes_R \iota_P(e_{\alpha_P}) \otimes_A e^*_{\alpha_P} \pi_P \otimes_R x,$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite subset of $\mathcal{P}$ such that $x = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{F}} e_P x$. The $\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$–comodule structures of Σ and $\Sigma^\dagger = \Sigma \otimes_R R$ are then given by

$$\rho_\Sigma(x) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{F}} \iota_P(e_{\alpha_P}) \otimes_A e^*_{\alpha_P} \pi_P \otimes_R x,$$

and

$$\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}(\phi \otimes_R r) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}} \phi \otimes_R \iota_Q(e_{\beta_Q}) \otimes_A e^*_{\alpha_Q} \pi_Q \otimes_R r,$$

respectively. In the second case, $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite subset of $\mathcal{P}$ such that $r = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} e_Q r$.

Example 3.7. Let Σ be a $B - A$-bimodule that is locally projective in the following sense (see [30]): for every element $u \in \Sigma$ (or equivalently, for every finite set in Σ), we can find an element (called dual basis) $e = e_i \otimes_A f_i \in \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^*$ such that $be = eb$ and $e \cdot u = u$. In case where $B = \mathbb{Z}$, this is equivalent with local projectivity in the sense of Zimmermann-Huisgen [32]. Take now a set of generators U for Σ_A, and let E be a set consisting of local dual bases for each of the elements of U. Put R the subring of $\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^*$ generated by the elements of E. By construction, R acts with local units on Σ and thus Σ is a firm left R-module. Clearly, R is a ring with left local units and, therefore, it is a firm ring (see also [30, Theorem 3.4]). It follows now from our general theory (Theorem 3.1) that $\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$ is an A-coring. The counit and comultiplication are given explicitly by the following formulas

$$\varepsilon(\varphi \otimes_R u) = \varphi(u).$$

and

$$\Delta(\varphi \otimes_R u) = \varphi \otimes_R e_i \otimes_A f_i \otimes_R u = \varphi \otimes_R e \otimes_R u,$$

where $e = e_i \otimes_A f_i$ is a dual basis for u. That this comultiplication is well-defined can be also checked directly as follows. If e' is another dual basis for u, let then e'' be a local unit for both e and e', i.e. $e''e = e$ and $e''e' = e'$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \otimes_R e \otimes_R u &= \varphi \otimes_R e''e \otimes_R u = \varphi \otimes_R e'' \otimes_R eu \\ &= \varphi \otimes_R e'' \otimes_R u = \varphi \otimes_R e'' \otimes_R e'u \\ &= \varphi \otimes_R e''e' \otimes_R u = \varphi \otimes_R e' \otimes_R u. \end{aligned}$$

The comatrix coring built in Theorem 3.1 admits (up to isomorphism) two more natural constructions. The first of them uses $\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma$ as underlying A–bimodule. The idea is to use as initial data the homomorphism of A–bimodules $\varepsilon^\dagger : \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \to A$ defined by

$$\varepsilon^\dagger(\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_R x) = \mu(\phi \otimes_R rx) = \mu(\phi \otimes_B rx)$$

and the map $\iota' : R \to \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger$ given by

$$\iota'(r) = (\iota \otimes_R R)d_R(r) = e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s$$

Lemma 3.8. *If we endow $\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger$ with the ring structure induced by $\varepsilon^\dagger$, then ι' is a homomorphism of rings.*

Proof. Given $r, t \in R$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota'(r)\iota'(t) &= (e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s)(e_u \otimes_A \varphi_u \otimes_R t^u) \\
&= e_s\mu(\varphi_s \otimes_R r^s e_u) \otimes_A \varphi_u \otimes_R t^u \\
&= sr^s e_u \otimes_A \varphi_u \otimes_R t^u \\
&= re_u \otimes_A \varphi_u \otimes_R t^u \\
&= e_r\mu(\varphi_r \otimes_R e_u) \otimes_A \varphi_u \otimes_R t^u \\
&= (e_r \otimes_A \varphi_r)(e_u \otimes_A \varphi_u) \otimes_R t^u \\
&= e_{ru} \otimes_A \varphi_{ru} \otimes_R t^u \\
&= e_v \otimes_A \varphi_v \otimes_R (rt)^v \\
&= \iota'(rt)
\end{aligned}$$

□

In view of this lemma, we can construct the comatrix coring associated to the firm module ${}_R\Sigma$ (or, in virtue of Proposition 3.4 the firm module $\Sigma^\dagger_R = \Sigma' \otimes_R R$). This comatrix A–coring is the A–bimodule $\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma$ with the comultiplication

$$\Delta^\dagger(\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_R x) = \phi \otimes_R r \otimes_R e_t \otimes_A \varphi_t \otimes_R s^t \otimes_R x^s \tag{24}$$

and counity

$$\varepsilon^\dagger(\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_R x) = \mu(\phi \otimes_R rx) \tag{25}$$

By Proposition 3.4,

$$\Delta^\dagger(\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_R x) = \phi \otimes_R s \otimes_R e_t \otimes_A \varphi_t \otimes_R (r^s)^t \otimes_R x \tag{26}$$

The structure of right $\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma$–comodule on Σ is given by (see (20))

$$\rho^\dagger_\Sigma(x) = e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s \otimes_R x^r \tag{27}$$

Now, being $\Sigma^\dagger = \Sigma' \otimes_R R$ firm as a right R–module, it has a structure of left $\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma$–comodule with coaction (see (21))

$$\lambda^\dagger_{\Sigma^\dagger}(\phi \otimes_R r) = \phi \otimes_R s \otimes_R e_t \otimes_A \varphi_t \otimes_R (r^s)^t \tag{28}$$

Proposition 3.9. *The map $f : \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \to \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$ given by $f(\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_R x) = \phi \otimes_R rx$ is an isomorphism of A–corings. Furthermore, the structure of right (resp. left) comodule over $\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma$ of Σ (resp. $\Sigma^\dagger$) given in* (27) *(resp.* (28)*) correspond under this isomorphism of categories to the $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$–comodule structures established in Theorem 3.1.*

Proof. First, observe that f is nothing but the inverse of the isomorphism $\Sigma' \otimes_R d_\Sigma$. The comultiplication of $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$ was given in (17). To prove that f is a homomorphism of A–corings we need to check that

$$\phi \otimes_R re_t \otimes_A \varphi_t \otimes_R s^t x^s = \phi r \otimes_R e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R x^s \tag{29}$$

for every $\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_R x \in \Sigma' \otimes_R R \otimes_R \Sigma$. The equality (29) is a direct consequence of

$$e_t \otimes_A \varphi_t s^t = e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s, \tag{30}$$

and this last is deduced from the fact that the structure of right R–module of Σ'_R is given by (13). Finally, let us check the claims concerning the comodule structures. Apply $\Sigma \otimes_A f$ to (27) to obtain, in view of (30), the induced $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$-coaction

$$(\Sigma \otimes_A f)\rho^\dagger_\Sigma(x) = e_r \otimes_A \varphi_r \otimes_R x^r,$$

which proves that $\rho_\Sigma = (\Sigma \otimes_A f)\rho^\dagger_\Sigma$. As for $\Sigma^\dagger$ concerns, the $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$–comodule structure map induced by the coring isomorphism f is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(f \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger)(\phi \otimes_R s \otimes_R e_t \otimes_A \varphi_t \otimes_R (r^s)^t) &= \phi \otimes_R se_t \otimes_A \varphi_t \otimes_R (r^s)^t \\
&= \phi \otimes_R e_s \mu(\varphi_s \otimes_R e_t) \otimes_A \varphi_t \otimes_R (r^s)^t \\
&= \phi \otimes_R e_s \otimes_A \mu(\varphi_s \otimes_R e_t)\varphi_t \otimes_R (r^s)^t \\
&= \phi \otimes_R e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s t \otimes_R (r^s)^t \\
&= \phi \otimes_R e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s
\end{aligned}$$

In the above computation we made use of (7) and (13). The resulting map is precisely the coaction $\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}$ given by Theorem 3.1. □

Finite comatrix corings, as defined in Example 3.5, and the corings defined in Example 3.6, are built on the canonical evaluation map $\mu : \Sigma^* \otimes_B \Sigma \to A$. Our next aim is to prove that this is always the case in some precise sense, namely a third construction of the comatrix coring built on the A–bimodule $\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$ (see Proposition 3.10).

For every $\phi \in \Sigma'$ let $\overline{\phi} : \Sigma \to A$ be defined by $\overline{\phi}(u) = \mu(\phi \otimes_R u)$. This gives a homomorphism $A - R$–bimodules $\overline{(-)} : \Sigma' \to \Sigma^*$ (which is also of $A - B$–bimodules). Now, let $\epsilon : \Sigma^* \otimes_B \Sigma \to A$ be the canonical evaluation map, and consider on $\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^*$ the corresponding ring structure with multiplication defined by $(x \otimes_A \phi)(y \otimes_A \nu) = x\phi(y) \otimes_A \nu$. Then the map

$$\Sigma \otimes_A \overline{(-)} : \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma' \longrightarrow \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^* \tag{31}$$

is a ring homomorphism. Moreover, the left $\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^*$–module structure of Σ is compatible with this ring morphism in the sense that it induces, by restriction of scalars, the old

$\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma'$–module structure. If $\iota : R \to \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma'$ is a homomorphism of rings, then its composition with (31) gives a homomorphism of rings from R to $\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^*$ which induces on Σ the same left R–module structure than ι. Thus, no ambiguity arises if we write $\iota : R \to \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^*$. Accordingly to Theorem 3.1 we can consider the A–corings $\Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$ and $\Sigma^* \otimes_A \Sigma$.

Proposition 3.10. *The map*

$$\overline{(-)} \otimes_R \Sigma : \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma \tag{32}$$

is an isomorphism of A–corings.

Proof. Clearly, the map is a homomorphism of A–bimodules. Moreover, an easy computation shows that $\overline{(-)} \otimes_R \Sigma$ is a homomorphism of A–corings. We need thus to prove that it is bijective. By Proposition 2.1 we have an isomorphism of $A-R$–bimodules $\alpha_A : \Sigma^* \otimes_R R \to A \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger$. We obtain an isomorphism of A–bimodules $\widetilde{\alpha}_A : \Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma \cong \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma$ as the composition

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[r, "\Sigma^* \otimes_R d_\Sigma"] & \Sigma^* \otimes_R R \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[r, "\alpha_A \otimes_R \Sigma"] & \Sigma' \otimes_R R \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[r, "\Sigma' \otimes_R \varpi_\Sigma"] & \Sigma' \otimes_R \Sigma\ .
\end{tikzcd}$$

This isomorphism acts on elements by

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_A(\phi \otimes_R u) = \phi(e_s)\varphi_s \otimes_R r^s u^r \qquad (\phi \otimes_R u \in \Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma).$$

Now, the computation

$$\begin{aligned}(\overline{(-)} \otimes_R \Sigma)\widetilde{\alpha}_A(\phi \otimes_R u) = \phi(e_s)\overline{\varphi_s} \otimes_R r^s u^r = &\\ \phi(e_s)\overline{\varphi_s} r^s \otimes_R u^r = \phi r \otimes_R u^r = \phi \otimes_R r u^r = \phi \otimes_R u\end{aligned}$$

proves that $\overline{(-)} \otimes_R \Sigma$ is a left inverse to the bijection $\widetilde{\alpha}_A$ and, henceforth, $\overline{(-)} \otimes_R \Sigma = \widetilde{\alpha}_A^{-1}$. □

Remark 3.11. The isomorphism of $A-R$–bimodules $\alpha_A : \Sigma^* \otimes_R R \cong A \otimes_A \Sigma' \otimes_R R \cong \Sigma' \otimes_R R$ deduced from Proposition 2.1 induces a natural isomorphism between the functors $- \otimes_A \Sigma^* \otimes_R R, - \otimes_A \Sigma' \otimes_R R : \mathcal{M}_A \to \mathcal{M}_R$. This, together with Proposition 3.10 allows to replace Σ' by Σ^* and μ by ϵ in our theory.

4 Galois Comodules

Let $(\mathfrak{C}, \Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}, \epsilon_{\mathfrak{C}})$ be a coring over A and Σ be a right $\mathfrak{C}$–comodule. Let $\iota : R \to \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^*$ be a ring homomorphism, for R a firm ring, such that Σ is an $R - \mathfrak{C}$–bicomodule and ${}_R\Sigma$ is firm. Our first objective is to prove that the adjoint pair (8) induces an adjoint pair

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R \arrow[r, shift left, "- \otimes_R \Sigma"] & \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}, \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma{,}-)"]
\end{tikzcd} \qquad - \otimes_R \Sigma \dashv \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, -)\ . \tag{33}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $\tau : \mathrm{Hom}_A(- \otimes_R \Sigma, -) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, -))$ *denote the adjunction isomorphism for the adjoint pair* (8)*. Then for every* $N \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R$ *and every* $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ *we have a commutative square*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_A(N \otimes_R \Sigma, M) \arrow[r, "\tau_{N,M}"] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M)) \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(N \otimes_R \Sigma, M) \arrow[r, "\tau_{N,M}"] \arrow[u] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M)) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are inclusions. In particular, $-\otimes_R\Sigma$ *is left adjoint to* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, -)$*.*

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(N \otimes_R \Sigma, M) \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M)) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_A(N \otimes_R \Sigma, M) \arrow[r, "\tau_{N,M}"] \arrow[d, shift right, "\beta"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\delta"] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M)) \arrow[d, shift right, "\beta'"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\delta'"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_A(N \otimes_R \Sigma, M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \arrow[r, "\tau_{N,M\otimes_A\mathfrak{C}}"] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}))
\end{tikzcd} \tag{34}$$

where the vertical sides represent equalizer diagrams. Here, $\beta = \mathrm{Hom}_A(N \otimes_R \Sigma, \rho_M)$ and $\beta' = \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, \rho_M))$, whence the naturality of τ gives that $\beta' \circ \tau_{N,M} = \tau_{N,M\otimes_A\mathfrak{C}} \circ \beta$. Obviously, the kernel of $\beta - \delta$ is $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(N \otimes_R \Sigma, M)$. On the other hand, $\delta(f) = (f \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(N \otimes_A \rho_\Sigma)$ for $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(N \otimes_R \Sigma, M)$, and $\delta'(g)(n) = (g(n) \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})\rho_\Sigma$ for $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M))$ and $n \in N$. Now, given $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(N \otimes_R \Sigma, M)$ and $n \in N$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{N,M\otimes_A\mathfrak{C}}(\delta(f))(n) &= \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, \delta(f))\nu_N(n) \\
&= \delta(f)\nu_N(n) \\
&= (f \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(N \otimes_A \rho_\Sigma)\nu_N(n)
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

If we apply $\delta' \circ \tau_{N,M}$ then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta'(\tau_{N,M}(f))(n) &= \delta'(\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, f)\nu_N)(n) \\
&= (\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, f)\nu_N(n) \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})\rho_\Sigma \\
&= (f\nu_N(n) \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})\rho_\Sigma
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

The values of the maps (35) and (36) at $u \in \Sigma$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
(f \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(N \otimes_A \rho_\Sigma)\nu_N(n)(u) &= (f \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(N \otimes_A \rho_\Sigma)(n \otimes_A u) \\
&= (f \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(n \otimes_A u_{[0]} \otimes_A u_{[1]}) \\
&= f(n \otimes_A u_{[0]}) \otimes_A u_{[1]},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(f\nu_N(n) \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})\rho_\Sigma(u) &= (f\nu_N(n) \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(u_{[0]} \otimes_A u_{[1]}) \\
&= f\nu_N(n)(u_{[0]}) \otimes_A u_{[1]} \\
&= f(n \otimes_A u_{[0]}) \otimes_A u_{[1]},
\end{aligned}$$

respectively, and, hence, they are equal. This concludes a proof of the equality $\tau_{N,M\otimes_A\mathfrak{C}}\circ\delta = \delta'\circ\tau_{N,M}$. It is easy to check that the maps β' and δ' come out by applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(N,-)$ to the equalizer

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M) \arrow[r, shift left, "\beta''"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\delta''"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M\otimes_A \mathfrak{C})\ ,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{37}$$

where $\beta'' = \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, \rho_M)$ and $\delta''(-) = (-\otimes_A \mathfrak{C})\rho_\Sigma$. Thus the equalizer of β' and δ' is $\mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M))$. This proves that $\tau_{N,M}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\tau_{N,M} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(N\otimes_R\Sigma, M) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M)).$$

□

Now let us, with the help of Lemma 4.1, prove that the adjoint pair (10) induces an adjoint pair

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M}_R \arrow[r, shift left, "-\otimes_R\Sigma"] & \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}, \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma,-)\otimes_R R"]
\end{tikzcd} \qquad -\otimes_R\Sigma \dashv \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma,-)\otimes_R R\ . \tag{38}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $\gamma : \mathrm{Hom}_A(-\otimes_R\Sigma, -) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma,-)\otimes_R R)$ *denote the adjunction isomorphism for the adjoint pair* (10). *Then for every* $N\in\mathcal{M}_R$ *and every* $M\in\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ *we have a commutative square*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_A(N\otimes_R\Sigma, M) \arrow[r, "\gamma_{N,M}"] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M)\otimes_R R) \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(N\otimes_R\Sigma, M) \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "\gamma_{N,M}"] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M)\otimes_R R) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are canonical monomorphisms (recall that $-\otimes_R R : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R \to \mathcal{M}_R$ *is left exact). In particular,* $-\otimes_R\Sigma$ *is left adjoint to* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma,-)\otimes_R R$.

Proof. Consider the functorial isomorphsim

$$\varsigma : \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma,-)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma,-)\otimes_R R),$$

defined from the adjunction isomorphism $\theta_{-,-} : \mathrm{Hom}_R(-,-) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_R(-,-\otimes_R R)$ corresponding to the adjoint pair (9) as $\varsigma = \theta_{-,\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma,-)}$. Since ς is natural, we get from the equalizer (37) a commutative diagram of equalizers

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M)) \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M)\otimes_R R) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M)) \arrow[r, "\varsigma_{N,M}"] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M)\otimes_R R) \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M\otimes_A\mathfrak{C})) \arrow[r, "\varsigma_{N,M\otimes_A\mathfrak{C}}"] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M\otimes_A\mathfrak{C})\otimes_R R)
\end{tikzcd}$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$\varsigma_{N,M} : \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M) \otimes_R R).$$

Finally, we have that $\gamma = \varsigma \circ \tau$, which finishes the proof. □

Let

$$\pi : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, -) \otimes_R R \otimes_R \Sigma \longrightarrow id_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}} , \tag{39}$$

be the counit of the adjunction (38), which is, when evaluated at M, nothing but the restriction of the map displayed in (12).

When the right $\mathfrak{C}$–comodule Σ is finitely generated and projective as a right A–module, the finite comatrix coring $\Sigma^* \otimes_T \Sigma$ is related to the coring $\mathfrak{C}$ with a canonical homomorphism of A–corings [16, Proposition 2.7], where $T = \mathrm{End}(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}})$. Expressed in Sweedler's sigma-notation, that canonical map reads $\mathsf{can}(\phi \otimes_T x) = \phi(x_{[0]})x_{[1]}$. The following proposition shows that this canonical map stills to be a homomorphism of corings in our present more general setting.

Proposition 4.3. *The map*

$$\mathsf{can} : \Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}, \qquad \phi \otimes_R x \mapsto \phi(x_{[0]})x_{[1]}$$

is a homomorphism of A–corings.

Proof. Given $\phi \otimes_A x \in \Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x_{[0]})x_{[1]} &= (\phi \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(x_{[0]} \otimes_A x_{[1]}) \\ &= (\phi \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})\rho_M(x) \\ &= (\phi \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})\rho_M(rx^r) \\ &= (\phi \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})r\rho_M(x^r) \\ &= (\phi \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(rx^r{}_{[0]} \otimes_A x^r{}_{[1]}) \\ &= \phi(rx^r{}_{[0]})x^r{}_{[1]}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathsf{can}(\phi \otimes_A x) = \phi(rx^r{}_{[0]})x^r{}_{[1]}. \tag{40}$$

Now, apply (40) to compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \mathsf{can})(\phi \otimes_A x) &= \Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\phi(rx^r{}_{[0]})x^r{}_{[1]}) \\ &= \phi(rx^r{}_{[0]})\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(x^r{}_{[1]}) \\ &= (\phi \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(rx^r{}_{[0]} \otimes_A \Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(x^r{}_{[1]})). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Let us compute the other pertinent composition:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathsf{can} \otimes_A \mathsf{can})\Delta_{\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma}(\phi \otimes_A x) &= (\mathsf{can} \otimes_A \mathsf{can})(\phi \otimes_A e_r \otimes_A \varphi_r \otimes_R x^r) \\ &= \phi(e_{r[0]})e_{r[1]} \otimes_A \varphi_r(x^r{}_{[0]})x^r{}_{[1]} \\ &= \phi(e_{r[0]})e_{r[1]}\varphi_r(x^r{}_{[0]}) \otimes_A x^r{}_{[1]} \\ &= (\phi \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(e_{r[0]} \otimes_A e_{r[1]}\varphi_r(x^r{}_{[0]}) \otimes_A x^r{}_{[1]}) \\ &= (\phi \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(\rho_\Sigma(e_r\varphi_r(x^r{}_{[0]})) \otimes_A x^r{}_{[0]}) \\ &= (\phi \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(\rho_\Sigma(rx^r{}_{[0]}) \otimes_A x^r{}_{[1]}). \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The last members of (41) and (42) are equal because Σ is a right $\mathfrak{C}$–comodule. We have thus proved that $\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \mathsf{can} = (\mathsf{can} \otimes_A \mathsf{can}) \circ \Delta_{\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma}$. A straightforward argument shows that $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \mathsf{can}$ is the evaluation map, and thus the proof is complete. $\square$

The homomorphism of A–corings can defined in Proposition 4.3 induces two functors $\mathsf{CAN_r} : \mathcal{M}^{\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma} \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $\mathsf{CAN}_l : {}^{\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma}\mathcal{M} \to {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}$ which are examples of induction functors in the sense of [21]. In this case, the functors act as the identity on morphisms and on the underlying structures of A–modules. The image under CAN_l of the right $\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$–comodule Σ (Theorem 3.1) is nothing but the original structure of right $\mathfrak{C}$–comodule over Σ. We have then a commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M}^{\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma} \arrow[rr, "\mathsf{CAN_r}"] & & \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \\
& \mathcal{M}_R \arrow[ul, "-\otimes_R \Sigma"] \arrow[ur, "-\otimes_R \Sigma"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{43}$$

On the other hand, the action of CAN_l on the left $\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$–comodule $\Sigma^\dagger = \Sigma^* \otimes_R R$ (Theorem 3.1) endows it with a structure of left $\mathfrak{C}$–comodule $\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}^{\mathfrak{C}} : \Sigma^\dagger \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger$. Explicitly, it reads

$$\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}^{\mathfrak{C}}(\phi \otimes_R r) = \phi(e_{s[0]})e_{s[1]} \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s,$$

because, by definition, $\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}^{\mathfrak{C}} = (\mathsf{can} \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger)\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}$. Clearly, $\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is right R–linear and, thus, $\Sigma^\dagger \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^R$. If we assume ${}_R R$ to be flat (i.e., the functor $- \otimes_R R : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_R} \to \mathsf{Ab}$ exact), this bicomodule gives a functor $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^\dagger : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \to \mathcal{M}_R$. Recall from Proposition 2.1 that we have a functorial isomorphism $\alpha : \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, -) \otimes_R R \simeq - \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger$.

The following theorem establishes a basic adjunction connecting comodules and firm modules.

Theorem 4.4. *If ${}_R R$ is flat, then the natural isomorphism $\alpha : \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, -) \otimes_R R \simeq - \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger$ induces a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, -) \otimes_R R \simeq -\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^\dagger$. In particular, we have an adjoint pair*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M}_R \arrow[r, shift left, "-\otimes_R \Sigma"] & \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}, \arrow[l, shift left, "-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^\dagger"]
\end{tikzcd} \qquad - \otimes_R \Sigma \dashv -\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^\dagger \; . \tag{44}$$

Proof. Consider the diagram of equalizers

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M) \otimes_R R \arrow[d] & M\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^\dagger \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M) \otimes_R R \arrow[r, "\alpha_M"] \arrow[d, shift right, "\beta'' \otimes_R R"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\delta'' \otimes_R R"] & M \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger \arrow[d, shift right, "\rho_M \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "M \otimes_A \lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \otimes_R R \arrow[r, "\alpha_{M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}}"] & M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where β'' and δ'' appeared in diagram (37). In order to deduce that α_M induces an isomorphism $\alpha_M : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M) \otimes_R R \simeq M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^\dagger$ we need to check the following two identities:
(a) $\alpha_{M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}} \circ (\beta'' \otimes_R R) = (\rho_\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger) \circ \alpha_M$
(b) $\alpha_{M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}} \circ (\delta'' \otimes_R R) = (M \otimes_A \lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}) \circ \alpha_M$.
To check (a), pick $h \otimes_R r \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M) \otimes_R R$ and compute as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}}(\beta'' \otimes_R R)(h \otimes_R r) &= \alpha_{M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}}(\rho_\Sigma h \otimes_R r) \\
&= \rho_\Sigma h(e_s) \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s \\
&= h(e_s)_{[0]} \otimes_A h(e_s)_{[1]} \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s \\
&= (\rho_\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger)(h(e_s) \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s) \\
&= (\rho_\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger)\alpha_M(h \otimes_R r).
\end{aligned}$$

We shall deduce (b) by proving $\alpha_{M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}} \circ (\delta'' \otimes_R R) \circ \alpha_M^{-1} = M \otimes_A \lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}$ as follows: given $m \otimes_A \phi \otimes_R r \in M \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger$, write $h \otimes_R r = \alpha_M^{-1}(m \otimes_A \phi \otimes_R r)$, which means (see the proof of Proposition 2.1) that $h(u) = m\phi(u)$ for every $u \in \Sigma$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha_{M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}} \circ (\delta'' \otimes_R R) \circ \alpha_M^{-1})(m \otimes_A \phi \otimes_R r) &= \alpha_{M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}}(\delta'' \otimes_R R)(h \otimes_R r) \\
&= h(e_{s[0]}) \otimes_A e_{s[1]} \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s \\
&= m\phi(e_{s[0]}) \otimes_A e_{s[1]} \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s \\
&= m \otimes_A \phi(e_{s[0]})e_{s[1]} \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R r^s \\
&= (M \otimes_A \lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger})(m \otimes_A \phi \otimes_R r).
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

The adjunction isomorphism of the adjoint pair (44) is given by the restriction to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(N \otimes_R \Sigma, M)$ of (15). This allows to deduce that the counit of the adjoint pair (44) is given by the composite map

$$\chi_M : (M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^\dagger) \otimes_R \Sigma \xrightarrow{eq_{M,\Sigma^\dagger} \otimes_R \Sigma} M \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \xrightarrow{\delta_M} M, \tag{45}$$

where δ_M is defined in (16), and $eq_{M,\Sigma^\dagger} : M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^\dagger \to M \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger$ is the equalizer of the maps $\rho_M \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger$ and $M \otimes_A \lambda^{\mathfrak{C}}_{\Sigma^\dagger}$.

Proposition 4.5. *The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \arrow[dr, "\pi_A \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}"] & \\
\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[ur, "\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \rho_\Sigma"] \arrow[rr, dashed, "\mathsf{can}^\dagger"] \arrow[dr, "\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger} \otimes_R \Sigma"'] & & \mathfrak{C} \\
& \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[ur, "\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The resulting map

$$\mathsf{can}^\dagger : \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \to \mathfrak{C},$$

is such that the homomorphism of A–corings $\mathsf{can} : \Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma \to \mathfrak{C}$ *can be expressed as* $\mathsf{can} = \mathsf{can}^\dagger \circ (\Sigma^* \otimes_R d_\Sigma)$. *Moreover,* $\mathsf{can}^\dagger$ *is a homomorphism of A–corings.*

Proof. Pick $\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_A x \in \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma$. Taking that $x = sx^s$ into account we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi_A \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \rho_\Sigma)(\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_A x) &= (\pi_A \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \rho_\Sigma)(\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_A sx^s) \\ &= (\pi_A \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \rho_\Sigma)(\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_A e_s\varphi_s(x^s)) \\ &= (\pi_A \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})(\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_R e_{s[0]} \otimes_A e_{s[1]}\varphi_s(x^s)) \\ &= \phi(re_{s[0]})e_{s[1]}\varphi_s(x^s),\end{aligned}$$

and, since $\phi r \otimes_R ts^t \otimes_R x^s = \phi r \otimes_R st \otimes_R (x^s)^t = \phi r \otimes_R s \otimes_R x^s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger} \otimes_R \Sigma)(\phi \otimes_R r \otimes_R x) &= \delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger} \otimes_R \Sigma)(\phi \otimes_R rs \otimes_R x^s) \\ &= \delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathsf{can} \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma)(\phi r \otimes_R e_s \otimes_A \varphi_s \otimes_R t \otimes_R (x^s)^t) \\ &= \phi(re_{s[0]})e_{s[1]}\varphi_s(x^s).\end{aligned}$$

The equality $\mathsf{can} = \mathsf{can}^\dagger \circ (\Sigma^* \otimes_R d_\Sigma)$ follows without difficulty from (40). Since $\Sigma^* \otimes_R d_\Sigma$ is the inverse of the isomorphism of A–corings given in Proposition 3.9, we get that $\mathsf{can}^\dagger$ is a homomorphism of A–corings. ☐

Remark 4.6. The canonical map can and the value of the counit

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{C}) \otimes_R R \otimes_R \Sigma \to \mathfrak{C}$$

at $\mathfrak{C}$ are tightly related. In fact, $\mathsf{can} = \pi_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \daleth$, where $\daleth$ denotes the composition of the isomorphisms

$$\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma \xrightarrow{\Sigma^* \otimes_R d_\Sigma} \Sigma^* \otimes_R R \otimes_R \Sigma \xrightarrow{((-\otimes_A \mathfrak{C})\circ\rho_\Sigma)\otimes_R R \otimes_R \Sigma} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{C}) \otimes_R R \otimes_R \Sigma$$

The following definition generalizes the notion of a Galois comodule from the case of finite comatrix corings [16] to our general framework.

Definition 4.7. The comodule Σ is said to be R–$\mathfrak{C}$-*Galois* if can (or, equivalently, $\mathsf{can}^\dagger$) is an isomorphism. We say then that $(\mathfrak{C}, {}_R\Sigma)$ is Galois, or even that $\mathfrak{C}$ is a Galois coring, when Σ and R are clear from the context.

From now on in this section, assume that ${}_RR$ is flat. We will show that a Galois comodule Σ allows to reconstruct some comodules from the category $\mathcal{M}_R$ by using the functor $- \otimes_R \Sigma$. To do this, consider the following diagram (Σ is not yet assumed to be Galois)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(N\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^\dagger) \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[r, "eq_{N,\Sigma^\dagger}\otimes_R\Sigma"] \arrow[d, "eq_{N,\Sigma^\dagger}\otimes_R\Sigma"] \arrow[dd, bend right=60, "\chi_N"'] & N \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[d, "N\otimes_A\lambda_{\Sigma^\dagger}\otimes_R\Sigma"'] \arrow[dd, bend left=60, "N\otimes_A\mathsf{can}^\dagger"] \\
N \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[r, "\rho_N\otimes_A\Sigma^\dagger\otimes_R\Sigma"] \arrow[d, "\delta_N"] & N \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[d, "\delta_{N\otimes_A\mathfrak{C}}"'] \\
N \arrow[r, "\rho_N"'] & N \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is commutative by Proposition 4.5. Define a natural transformation

$$\Psi : (-\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^\dagger)\otimes_R \Sigma \longrightarrow -\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma)\ ,$$

making commute the diagrams (for $N \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(N\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^\dagger)\otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[d, dashed, "\Psi_N"] \arrow[dr, "eq_{N,\Sigma^\dagger}\otimes_R\Sigma"] & & \\
N\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma^\dagger\otimes_R\Sigma) \arrow[r, "eq_{N,\Sigma^\dagger\otimes_R\Sigma}"'] & N\otimes_A\Sigma^\dagger\otimes_R\Sigma \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & N\otimes_A\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A\Sigma^\dagger\otimes_A\Sigma
\end{tikzcd} \tag{46}$$

We thus get the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & & N\otimes_A\Sigma^\dagger\otimes_R\Sigma \arrow[dd, "N\otimes_A\mathsf{can}^\dagger"] \\
(N\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^\dagger)\otimes_R\Sigma \arrow[urrr, "eq_{N,\Sigma^\dagger}\otimes_R\Sigma"] \arrow[r, "\Psi_N"] \arrow[dd, "\chi_N"] & N\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma^\dagger\otimes_R\Sigma) \arrow[urr, "eq_{N,\Sigma^\dagger\otimes_R\Sigma}"'] \arrow[dd, "N\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathsf{can}^\dagger"'] & & \\
& & & N\otimes_A\mathfrak{C} \\
N \arrow[urrr, "\rho_N"] \arrow[r, "\sim"'] & N\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{C} \arrow[urr, "eq_{N,\mathfrak{C}}"'] & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{47}$$

which turns out to be commutative.

From the foregoing discussion we get the following characterization of those comodules which come from modules.

Theorem 4.8. *The following are equivalent for* $N \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$

(i) The counit ζ_N *gives an isomorphism* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, N)\otimes_R\Sigma \cong N$*;*

(ii) the counit π_N *gives an isomorphism* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, N)\otimes_R R\otimes_R\Sigma \cong N$*;*

(iii) the counit χ_N *gives an isomorphism* $(N\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^\dagger)\otimes_R\Sigma \cong N$*.*

If Σ *is an* $R-\mathfrak{C}$*–Galois comodule, then the foregoing statements are equivalent to each one of the following.*

(iv) Ψ_N *gives an isomorphism* $(N\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^{\dagger})\otimes_R\Sigma\cong N\square_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma^{\dagger}\otimes_R\Sigma)$*;*

(v) $-\otimes_R\Sigma$ *preserves the equalizer* $eq_{N,\Sigma^{\dagger}}$*.*

Proof. *(i)* ⇔ *(ii)* follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma,N)\otimes_R\Sigma \arrow[rr, "\zeta_N"] & & N\ , \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma,N)\otimes_R R\otimes_R\Sigma \arrow[u, "\cong"] \arrow[urr, "\pi_N"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical isomorphism comes from the isomorphism $R\otimes_R\Sigma\cong\Sigma$.
(ii) ⇔ *(iii)* follows from Theorem 4.4.
(iii) ⇔ *(iv)* is a consequence of diagram (47).
(iv) ⇔ *(v)* is easily deduced from diagram (46). $\square$

By combining Theorem 4.8 and Gabriel-Popescu's Theorem [20], we obtain the following generalization and improvement of [5, Theorem 2.1.(1)] and [9, Theorem 3.8]. Observe that, as we explain later, the use of the Gabriel-Popescu Theorem is not the only possibility to deduce such a generalization. In fact, Theorem 4.9 can be understood as two different statements that generalize the aforementioned results. Recall that the category of comodules $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a Grothendieck category with exact forgetful functor $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}\to\mathcal{M}_A$ if and only if ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ is flat [18, Proposition 1.2].

Let $T=\mathrm{End}(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}})$ be the endomorphism ring of the right $\mathfrak{C}$–comodule Σ. Since Σ is an $R-\mathfrak{C}$–bicomodule, every element r in the firm ring R gives rise by left multiplication to an element of T. This defines a homomorphism of rings $R\to T$.

Theorem 4.9. *The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma,-):\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}\to\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R$ *is a full and faithful functor;*

(ii) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma,-)\otimes_R R:\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}\to\mathcal{M}_R$ *is a full and faithful functor;*

(iii) $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^{\dagger}:\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}\to\mathcal{M}_R$ *is a full and faithful functor;*

(iv) Σ *is a Galois right* $\mathfrak{C}$*–comodule and the canonical map* Ψ_N *gives an isomorphism* $(N\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\Sigma^{\dagger})\otimes_R\Sigma\cong N\square_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma^{\dagger}\otimes_R\Sigma)$ *for every right* $\mathfrak{C}$*–comodule* N*;*

(v) Σ *is a Galois right* $\mathfrak{C}$*–comodule and* $-\otimes_R\Sigma$ *preserves the equalizer* $eq_{N,\Sigma^{\dagger}}$ *for every right* $\mathfrak{C}$*–comodule* N*.*

Assume that $\mathfrak{C}$ *is flat as a left* A*–module, and consider the following statements.*

(vi) Σ *is a Galois right* $\mathfrak{C}$*–comodule and a flat left* R*–module;*

(vii) Σ *is a generator in the category* $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$*;*

(viii) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, -) : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \to \mathcal{M}_T$ *is a full and faithful functor.*

Then (vii) and (viii) are equivalent, (vi) implies (v), and (i) implies (vii). If, in addition, ${}_RT$ *is flat or* R *is a left ideal of* T*, then (vii) implies (vi) and, therefore, the eight statements are equivalent.*

Proof. The equivalence between the first five statements is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.8, in view of Remark 4.6.
$(vii) \Leftrightarrow (viii)$ is a consequence of Gabriel-Popescu's Theorem [25, Cap. III, Teoremă 9.1.(2)].
$(vi) \Rightarrow (v)$ is obvious.
$(i) \Rightarrow (vii)$ is clear.
$(viii) \Rightarrow (vii)$ The main problem is to prove that ${}_R\Sigma$ is flat. We will prove this here in the case ${}_RT$ flat. The other case, namely for R a left ideal of T will be proved below in Proposition 4.13. By Gabriel-Popescu's Theorem [25, Cap. III, Teoremă 9.1.(3)], the functor $- \otimes_T \Sigma : \mathcal{M}_T \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is exact. Since the forgetful functor $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \to \mathcal{M}_A$ is exact because ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ is flat, we get that ${}_T\Sigma$ is flat. This implies, being ${}_RT$ flat, that ${}_R\Sigma$ is flat. Now, Theorem 4.8 gives that $\chi_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an isomorphism. From (47) we get that $\mathfrak{C}\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathsf{can}^{\dagger}$ is an isomorphism and, hence, $\mathsf{can}^{\dagger}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, Σ is Galois. $\square$

Apart from the equivalence between (vi) and $(viii)$ in Theorem 4.9, Gabriel-Popescu's Theorem is used in $(viii) \Rightarrow (vii)$ just to deduce that ${}_R\Sigma$ is flat from the fact that $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a generator, in the case that ${}_RT$ is flat. For the other case, when R is a left ideal of T, we need to extend some technical facts on flat modules from unital rings to firm rings. This is made, for the convenience of the reader, in the Appendix. With these results at hand, we proceed as follows.

Let $R \to T$ be a homomorphism of rings from a firm ring R to a unital ring T. We have a functor $T \otimes_R - : {}_R\mathcal{M} \to {}_T\mathcal{M}$, and, for every $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$, an homomorphism of left R–modules $v_N : N \to T \otimes_R N$ defined as $v_N(n) = 1 \otimes_R n$.

Lemma 4.10. *The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $v_R : R \to T \otimes_R R$ *is an isomorphism;*

(ii) R *is a left ideal of* T*;*

(iii) $v_N : N \to T \otimes_R N$ *is an isomorphism for every* $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ For $t \in T$ and $r \in R$ put $s = v_R^{-1}(t \otimes_R r) \in R$. Then $1 \otimes_R s = t \otimes_R r$ which implies, by multiplying, that $s = tr$.
$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ Define $f : T \otimes_R R \to R$ by $f(t \otimes_R r) = tr$. Obviously, $f \circ v_R = R$. For the other composition,

$$(v_R \circ f)(t \otimes_R r) = v_R(tr) = v_R(tsr^s) = tsv_R(r^s) = ts \otimes_R r^s = t \otimes_R sr^s = t \otimes_R r$$

which shows that $f = v_R^{-1}$.
$(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$ With ${}_RN$ firm we have

$$T \otimes_R N \cong T \otimes_R R \otimes_R N \cong R \otimes_R N \cong N,$$

isomorphism which is explicitly given by

$$t \otimes_R n \mapsto t \otimes_R r \otimes_R n^r \mapsto tr \otimes_R n^r \mapsto (tr)n^r \tag{48}$$

This isomorphism is the inverse of v_N since, for $n \in N$,

$$n \mapsto 1 \otimes_R n \mapsto 1 \otimes_R r \otimes_R n^r \mapsto r \otimes_R n^r \mapsto rn^r = n$$

$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ is obvious. □

Lemma 4.11. *If R is a left ideal of T then every firm left R–module N is a left T–module with the action $tn = (tr)n^r$ for $t \in T$ and $n \in N$. Moreover, we have an isomorphism $M \otimes_T N \cong M \otimes_R N$ for every $M \in \mathcal{M}_T$.*

Proof. The isomorphism v_N transfers the structure of left T–module from $T \otimes_R N$ to N accordingly to (48). The isomorphism $M \otimes_T N \cong M \otimes_R N$ is then obtained, with the help of Lemma 4.10, as the composite

$$M \otimes_T N \cong M \otimes_T (T \otimes_R N) \cong (M \otimes_T T) \otimes_R N \cong M \otimes_R N$$

□

Remark 4.12. If R is a left ideal of $T = \operatorname{End}(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}})$ then, by Lemma 4.11, we have an isomorphism $\Sigma^* \otimes_T \Sigma \cong \Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$ which turns out to be of A–bimodules. Therefore, the structure of comatrix A–coring of $\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$ can be transferred to $\Sigma^* \otimes_T \Sigma$, in analogy to the case of infinite comatrix corings [17].

We are ready to formulate the alternative proof for the flatness of Σ. It is modelled after [31, 19.9]. We assume that ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ is flat.

Proposition 4.13. *If Σ is a generator of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and R is a left ideal of $T = \operatorname{End}(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}})$, then ${}_R\Sigma$ is flat.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.4 in the Appendix it suffices to show that for any right ideal of finite type $J = f_1R + \cdots + f_kR$ of R, the map $\mu_J : J \otimes_R \Sigma \to J\Sigma$, $\mu_J(g \otimes u) = g(u)$ is injective. Let us consider the surjective map $\phi : \Sigma^n \to J\Sigma$, $f(u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \sum_i f_i(u_i)$. We put $K = \operatorname{Ker}\phi$, then $K \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, since ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ is flat. Moreover, we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, K) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, \Sigma^n) \arrow[r, "\beta"] & J \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Tensoring by Σ over R, we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, K) \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[r, "\alpha\otimes\Sigma"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ev}_K"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, \Sigma^n) \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[r, "\beta\otimes\Sigma"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ev}_{\Sigma^n}"] & J \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mu_J"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] & \Sigma^n \arrow[r, "\phi"] & J\Sigma \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

The map ev_K is surjective, this is a translation of the generator property of Σ (see, e.g., [9, Lemma 3.7]). Furthermore, ev_{Σ^n} is an isomorphism, as one can see from the following

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, \Sigma^n) \otimes_R \Sigma \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, \Sigma)^n \otimes_R \Sigma \cong T^n \otimes_R \Sigma \cong T^n \otimes_R R \otimes_R \Sigma \cong R^n \otimes_R \Sigma \cong \Sigma^n,$$

(here we used Lemma 4.10). After this, it follows from the properties of the diagram that μ_J is injective. ☐

We have then finished the proof of Theorem 4.9. The following consequence allow to deduce (see Theorem 4.15) a generalization of the faithfully flat descent theorem for comodules as formulated in [16]. For the notion of a quotient category we refer to [19].

Corollary 4.14. *If $\mathfrak{C}$ is flat as left A module and if the equivalent conditions of Theorem 4.9 hold, then* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, -) \otimes_R R$ *induces an equivalence of categories between* $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_R/\ker(- \otimes_R \Sigma)$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of [19, Proposition III.5]. ☐

The following is our main theorem, which generalizes [16, Theorem 3.2] and, as we will show in Section 5, [17, Theorem 5.9].

Theorem 4.15. *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an A-coring that is flat as left A-module. With notations as before, the following statements are equivalent*

(i) Σ *is a Galois right $\mathfrak{C}$-comodule and a faithfully flat left R-module;*

(ii) $- \otimes_R \Sigma : \mathcal{M}_R \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ *is an equivalence of categories;*

(iii) Σ *is a Galois right $\mathfrak{C}$-comodule and* $- \otimes_R \Sigma : \mathcal{M}_R \to \mathcal{M}^{\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma}$ *is an equivalence of categories;*

(iv) Σ *is a generator of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ such that the functor* $- \otimes_R \Sigma : \mathcal{M}_R \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ *is full and faithful;*

(v) Σ *is a generator of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ such that the functor* $- \otimes_R \Sigma : \mathcal{M}_R \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ *is faithful and R is a left ideal of T.*

Proof. *(i) ⇒ (ii)* If Σ is faithfully flat as a left R–module, then the kernel of $- \otimes_R \Sigma$ is trivial. By Corollary 4.14, $- \otimes_R \Sigma$ is an equivalence of categories.
(ii) ⇒ (iii) If $-\otimes_R\Sigma : \mathcal{M}_R \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an equivalence, then its pseudo-inverse $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, -)\otimes_R R$ is an equivalence and, by Theorem 4.9, Σ is Galois, i.e., $\mathsf{can} : \Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma \to \mathfrak{C}$ is an isomorphism of corings. Then the induced functor $\mathsf{CAN_r} : \mathcal{M}^{\Sigma^*\otimes_R\Sigma} \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an equivalence of categories. This implies, in view of diagram (43) that $- \otimes_R \Sigma : \mathcal{M}_R \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an equivalence.
(iii) ⇒ (ii) follows from diagram (43).
(ii) ⇒ (iv) Since R is a generator for $\mathcal{M}_R$, we get that $\Sigma \cong R \otimes_R \Sigma$ is a generator of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.
(iv) ⇒ (v) The unit of the adjunction (38) is an isomorphism. This gives an isomorphism

$$R \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, R \otimes_R \Sigma) \otimes_R R \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, \Sigma) \otimes_R R = T \otimes_R R,$$

which entails that every element in $T \otimes_R R$ is of the form $\mu_R\nu_R(r^s) \otimes_R s$ for some $r \in R$ (notations as in (11)). In this way, the image of the multiplication map $T \otimes_R R \to T$ is R, that is, R is a left ideal of T.
(v) ⇒ (i) The forgetful functor $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \to \mathcal{M}_A$ is faithful, which implies, under the hypotheses, that $- \otimes_R \Sigma : \mathcal{M}_R \to \mathcal{M}_A$ is faithful. By Theorem 4.9, ${}_R\Sigma$ is flat and it is a Galois comodule. ☐

Let us return to the case where $\mathfrak{C} = \Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$. That $(\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma, {}_R\Sigma)$ is Galois is not a surprise, even thought that it is not completely evident.

Lemma 4.16. *The homomorphism of A–corings* $\mathsf{can} : \Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma \to \Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$ *is the identity. Therefore, Σ is a Galois $\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$–comodule.*

Proof. By using (7) and the definition of the coaction ρ_Σ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{can}(\phi \otimes_R x) &= \phi(e_r)\varphi_r \otimes_R x^r \\ &= \phi r \otimes_R x^r \\ &= \phi \otimes_R r x^r \\ &= \phi \otimes_R x \end{aligned}$$

☐

In view of the Lemma 4.16, Theorem 4.15 has a relevant corollary.

Corollary 4.17. *Assume that the comatrix coring $\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$ is flat as a left A–module. The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) Σ is a faithfully flat left R-module;

(ii) $- \otimes_R \Sigma : \mathcal{M}_R \to \mathcal{M}^{\Sigma^*\otimes_R\Sigma}$ is an equivalence of categories.

Remark 4.18. The statements of this section admit a reformulation in terms of the coring $\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma$ defined in (24). This claim is supported by Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 4.5, which provide the commutative diagram of homomorphisms of A–corings, with f an isomorphism:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[dr, "\mathsf{can}"] & \\
& \mathfrak{C} \\
\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \arrow[uu, "f"] \arrow[ur, "\mathsf{can}^\dagger"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{49}$$

Example 4.19. Suppose Σ is a $B - \mathfrak{C}$-bicomodule, such that the following condition holds. Every finite subset of Σ is contained in a $B - \mathfrak{C}$–subcomodule, say P, of Σ such that P_A is finitely generated and projective and a direct summand of ${}_B\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}}$. If $\pi : \Sigma \to P$ is the corresponding projection and $\{(e_i, e_i^*)\} \subseteq P \times P^*$ is a dual basis for P_A, then $e = e_i \otimes_A e_i^* \pi \in \Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^*$ is an idempotent such that e is B-central, e is an endomorphism of the $\mathfrak{C}$–comodule Σ, and e acts as a left unit on P. This situation is more restrictive than the one in Example 3.7. In case $B = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{C} = A$, it means exactly that Σ_A is locally projective in the sense of Ánh and Márki [3]. As in Example 3.7 we can construct a comatrix coring $\Sigma^* \otimes_R \Sigma$, where R is a subring of $\Sigma \otimes_A \Sigma^*$ generated by a bunch of idempotent dual bases for subcomodules of Σ, indexed by a set of generators of Σ. Each of this idempotents is an endomorphism of $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}}$, and, therefore, Σ becomes an $R - \mathfrak{C}$–bicomodule. This implies we can apply the results of this section to this situation. We can as well take for R the left ideal T generated by the idempotents, which would make this extra condition in Theorem 4.9 superfluous. One can prove that the conditions in this example are equivalent with taking Σ equal to a split direct limit of $\mathfrak{C}$-comodules that are finitely generated and projective. In this way, our results cover the ones of [10].

5 Infinite Comatrix Corings

Next, we will show that one of the constructions of the infinite comatrix corings of [17], and the most relevant results of [17, Section 5] can be deduced from the theory developed here. With this purpose, let us start by reconsidering Example 3.6. There, $\Sigma = \oplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P$ for a set $\mathcal{P}$ of finitely generated and projective right A–modules. Write $\Sigma^\ddagger = \oplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P^*$. We see that $\Sigma^\dagger = \Sigma^* \otimes_R R$ is isomorphic, as an $A - R$–bimodule, to $\Sigma^\ddagger$. In fact, for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$, we have an isomorphism of left A–modules $P^* \cong \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R R$ that sends ϕ onto $\phi \pi_P \otimes_R u_P$. This set of isomorphisms defines an isomorphism of $A - R$–bimodules $h : \Sigma^\dagger \to \Sigma^\ddagger$ which, for its part, induces the isomorphism of A–bimodules

$$h \otimes_R \Sigma : \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \to \Sigma^\ddagger \otimes_R \Sigma \tag{50}$$

The structure of A–coring of $\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma$ given in (26) is obviously transferred via $h \otimes_R \Sigma$ to $\Sigma^\ddagger \otimes_R \Sigma$. In $\Sigma^\ddagger \otimes_R \Sigma$ we have that $\phi \otimes_R x = 0$ for every $\phi \in P^*$ and $x \in Q$, whenever

$P \neq Q$ (see [17, page 2032]). Therefore, those elements obtained as sums of $\phi \otimes_R x$, where $\phi \in P^*$ and $x \in P$, exhaust $\Sigma^\ddagger \otimes_R \Sigma$ when P runs $\mathcal{P}$. The comultiplication $\Delta^\ddagger$ of $\Sigma^\ddagger \otimes_R \Sigma$ is then determined by

$$\Delta^\ddagger(\phi \otimes_R x) = \sum \phi \otimes_R e_{\alpha_P} \otimes_A e^*_{\alpha_P} \otimes_R x \qquad (\phi \in P^*, x \in P, P \in \mathcal{P}),$$

in concordance with the structure given in [17, Proposition 5.2]. The isomorphism of corings (50) induces an $R - \Sigma^\ddagger \otimes_R \Sigma$–bicomodule (resp. a $\Sigma^\ddagger \otimes_R \Sigma - R$–bicomodule) structure on Σ (resp. $\Sigma^\ddagger$). In resume, in virtue of Proposition 3.9 and the foregoing remarks, the statements of Section 4 have some consequences on the coring $\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma$ (or equivalently, on $\Sigma^\ddagger \otimes_R \Sigma$) which complete the theory developed in [17, Section 5]. We will give the most interesting of them.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a set of right comodules over an A–coring $\mathfrak{C}$, and define $\Sigma = \oplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P$. Consider the ring $T = \mathrm{End}(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}})$ and its (non unital) subring $R = \oplus_{P,Q \in \mathcal{P}} u_P T u_Q$. When each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is finitely generated and projective as a right A–module, then we have the A–coring $\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma$ and the homomorphism of A–corings $\mathsf{can}^\dagger : \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \to \mathfrak{C}$. On the other hand, it is not difficult to prove that ${}_R R$ is flat and that R is a left ideal of T. We are then in the hypotheses of Theorem 4.9.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\Sigma = \oplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P$ a right comodule over an A–coring $\mathfrak{C}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is a set of right $\mathfrak{C}$–comodules that are finitely generated and projective as right A–modules. Consider $R = \bigoplus_{P,Q \in \mathcal{P}} u_P T u_Q$, where u_P is the idempotent of $T = \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{C}})$ "attached" to P. The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, -) : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R$ *is a full and faithful functor;*

(ii) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, -) \otimes_R R : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \to \mathcal{M}_R$ *is a full and faithful functor;*

(iii) $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^\dagger : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \to \mathcal{M}_R$ *is a full and faithful functor;*

(iv) Σ *is a Galois right* $\mathfrak{C}$*–comodule and the canonical map* Ψ_N *gives an isomorphism* $(N \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^\dagger) \otimes_R \Sigma \cong N \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (\Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma)$ *for every right* $\mathfrak{C}$*–comodule* N*;*

(v) Σ *is a Galois right* $\mathfrak{C}$*–comodule and* $- \otimes_R \Sigma$ *preserves the equalizer* $eq_{N,\Sigma^\dagger}$ *for every right* $\mathfrak{C}$*–comodule* N*.*

Assume that $\mathfrak{C}$ *is flat as a left* A*–module. Then the foregoing statements are equivalent to each of the following.*

(vi) Σ *is a Galois right* $\mathfrak{C}$*–comodule and a flat left* R*–module;*

(vii) $\mathcal{P}$ *is a set of generators in the category* $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$*;*

(viii) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, -) : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \to \mathcal{M}_T$ *is a full and faithful functor.*

The main result of [17, Section 5] is a consequence of our general theory.

Theorem 5.2. *[17, Theorem 5.7] Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a set of right comodules over an A–coring $\mathfrak{C}$, and consider $\Sigma = \oplus_{P\in\mathcal{P}}P$. Let $R = \oplus_{P\in\mathcal{P}}u_P T u_Q$, where $T = \operatorname{End}(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}})$, as before. The following statements are equivalent*

(i) $_A\mathfrak{C}$ *is flat and* $\mathcal{P}$ *is a generating set of small projectives for* $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$*;*

(ii) $_A\mathfrak{C}$ *is flat, every* $P \in \mathcal{P}$ *is finitely and generated and projective as a right A–module,* $\mathsf{can}^\dagger : \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \to \mathfrak{C}$ *is an isomorphism, and* $-\otimes_R \Sigma : \mathcal{M}^{\Sigma^\dagger\otimes_R\Sigma} : \mathcal{M}_R \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ *is an equivalence of categories;*

(iii) every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ *is finitely generated and projective as a right A–module,* $\mathsf{can}^\dagger : \Sigma^\dagger \otimes_R \Sigma \to \mathfrak{C}$ *is an isomorphism, and* $_R\Sigma$ *is faithfully flat;*

(iv) $_A\mathfrak{C}$ *is flat and* $-\otimes_R \Sigma : \mathcal{M}_R \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ *is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorem 4.15, after the following observation: from (i) it follows that every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is finitely generated and projective as a right A–module, as it is proved in [17, Theorem 5.7]. ☐

6 Comatrix corings applied to rationality properties

Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an A-coring with counity $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}$. The left dual ${}^*\mathfrak{C} = \operatorname{Hom}_A({}_A\mathfrak{C}, A)$ has the structure of an A-ring with multiplication

$$f * g(c) = g(c_{(1)}f(c_{(2)})) \tag{51}$$

and unit map

$$\iota : A \to {}^*\mathfrak{C}, \qquad \iota(a)(c) = \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}(c)a,$$

which induces an A-bimodule structure on ${}^*\mathfrak{C}$ given by

$$(fa)(c) = f(c)a, \qquad (af)(c) = f(ca). \tag{52}$$

Every right $\mathfrak{C}$-comodule M is has also a right ${}^*\mathfrak{C}$-module structure given by

$$m \cdot f = m_{[0]}f(m_{[1]}),$$

for all $m \in M$ and $f \in {}^*\mathfrak{C}$. If $\mathfrak{C}$ is locally projective as a left A-module, then we can partially converse this last property and study rational ${}^*\mathfrak{C}$-modules [2, 12]. For any $M \in \mathcal{M}_{{}^*\mathfrak{C}}$, we denote by M^{rat} the rational submodule of M. This is given by

$$M^{\mathrm{rat}} = \{m \in M \mid \exists m_i \in M, c_i \in \mathfrak{C}, \text{ s.t. } m \cdot f = m_i f(c_i), \forall f \in {}^*\mathfrak{C}\},$$

in this case M^{rat} is a right $\mathfrak{C}$-comodule with coaction given by

$$\rho(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes_A m_{[1]} \quad \text{iff} \quad m \cdot f = m_{[0]}f(m_{[1]}), \text{ for all } f \in {}^*\mathfrak{C}. \tag{53}$$

By $\mathcal{RM}_{^*\mathfrak{C}}$ we denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}_{^*\mathfrak{C}}$ consisting of all rational submodules. There exists an isomorphism of categories

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \cong \mathcal{RM}_{^*\mathfrak{C}} \tag{54}$$

Recall from [2, 9, 12] that ${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$ is a ring with right local units if and only if it is a dense subset in the finite topology of ${}^*\mathfrak{C}$. In this situation, ${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$ has also right local units on every right $\mathfrak{C}$-comodule M. It is clear that $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{M}_{^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}}$ and ${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}} \in {}^*\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{rat}}\mathcal{M}$ and by the presence of local units, both are firm ${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$-modules. In this situation the isomorphism of categories (54) can be extended with an equivalence

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \cong \mathcal{RM}_{^*\mathfrak{C}} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{(^*\mathfrak{C})^{\mathrm{rat}}}, \tag{55}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}}$ denotes the category of firm right ${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$-modules, which means in this situation that ${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$ acts with right local units on them. The aim of this section is to show that the composed equivalence $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}}$ can be obtained by use of Galois comodules.

Consider as before the A-coring $\mathfrak{C}$ which is locally projective as left A-module.

Lemma 6.1. *The following equation holds for all $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $f \in {}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$*

$$c_{(1)}f(c_{(2)}) = f_{[0]}(c)f_{[1]} \tag{56}$$

Proof. Let $\{e_i, f_i\}$ be a local final dual basis for both $c_{(1)}f(c_{(2)})$ and $f_{[0]}(c)f_{[1]}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{[0]}(c)f_{[1]} &= e_if_i(f_{[0]}(c)f_{[1]}) && \\
&= e_if_{[0]}(c)f_i(f_{[1]}) && f_i \text{ left } A\text{-linear} \\
&= e_i(f_{[0]}f_i(f_{[1]}))(c) && (52) \\
&= e_i(f * f_i)(c) && (53) \\
&= e_if_i(c_{(1)}f(c_{(2)})) && (51) \\
&= c_{(1)}f(c_{(2)})
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 6.2. *The right coaction on ${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$, given by*

$$\rho : {}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}} \to {}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}, \qquad f \mapsto f_{[0]} \otimes f_{[1]},$$

is a homomorphism of rings.

Proof. The multiplication on ${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$ is induced by (51). The multiplication on ${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}$ is defined as usual by

$$(f \otimes_A c) \cdot (g \otimes_A d) = f \otimes_A g(c)d.$$

We can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(f * g) &= (f * g)_{[0]} \otimes_A (f * g)_{[1]} && \\
&= f * g_{[0]} \otimes_A g_{[1]} && [12, \text{Prop. } 5.1]
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(f)\cdot\rho(g) &= (f_{[0]}\otimes_A f_{[1]})\cdot(g_{[0]}\otimes_A g_{[1]})\\ &= f_{[0]}g_{[0]}(f_{[1]})\otimes_A g_{[1]}\end{aligned}\tag{58}$$

Furthermore, $f * g_{[0]}(c) = g_{[0]}(c_{(1)}f(c_2))$ and

$$(f_{[0]}g_{[0]}(f_{[1]}))(c) = f_{[0]}(c)g_{[0]}(f_{[1]}) = g_{[0]}(f_{[0]}(c)f_{[1]}).$$

Finally, using (56), we find that the right hand sides of (57) and (58) are equal and thus ρ is indeed a homomorphism of rings. □

From now on, we will restrict ourselves to the situation where ${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$ is a ring with right local units.

Now we can apply the results of Section 3 and construct a comatrix coring $\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{{}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}}{}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$. The comultiplication and counit are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta:\ \mathfrak{C}\otimes_R{}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}} &\to \mathfrak{C}\otimes_R{}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}\otimes_A\mathfrak{C}\otimes_R{}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}\\ c\otimes_R f &\mapsto c\otimes_R f_{[0]}\otimes_A f_{[1]}\otimes_R e\\ \varepsilon:\ \mathfrak{C}\otimes_R{}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}} &\to A\\ c\otimes_R f &\mapsto f(c)\end{aligned}$$

where e is a right local unit for f and we denote $R = {}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$ from now on, if we concern only its ring structure.

The canonical map is given by the map of 6.1 :

$$\mathsf{can}:\mathfrak{C}\otimes_R{}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}\to\mathfrak{C},\quad \mathsf{can}(c\otimes_R f) = f_{[0]}(c)f_{[1]}\quad (= c_{(1)}f(c_{(2)})\quad),$$

and has an inverse that reads

$$\mathsf{can}^{-1}(c) = c\otimes_R e,$$

where $e\in R$ is a local unit for c (i.e. $c\cdot e = c$). Remark that this isomorphism is nothing else the firmness isomorphism $\mathfrak{C}\cong\mathfrak{C}\otimes_R R$.

We will now apply the results of Section 4. The Galois comodule ${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$ induces a pair of adjoint functors given by

$$\begin{tikzcd}\mathcal{M}_R \arrow[r, shift left, "-\otimes_R{}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}"] & \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathfrak{C}}({}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}},-)\otimes_R R"]\end{tikzcd}\tag{59}$$

The general theory makes use of the module $\Sigma^\dagger = \Sigma^*\otimes_R R$. In our situation, we have the following

Lemma 6.3. *With notations and conventions as before, the following holds,*

$${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}\dagger} = \mathrm{Hom}_A({}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}, A)\otimes_R R\cong\mathfrak{C}.$$

Proof. Take $\varphi \otimes_R r \in \mathrm{Hom}_A({}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}, A) \otimes_R R$ then we define $\alpha(\varphi \otimes_R r) = \varphi(r_{[0]})r_{[1]}$, and conversely we define for all $c \in \mathfrak{C}$, $\beta(c) = \psi_c \otimes_r e$ where $e \in R$ is a right local unit for c and ψ_c is defined by $\psi_c(f) = f(c)$ for all $f \in {}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$. Then we can check

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \circ \beta(c) &= e_{[0]}(c)e_{[1]} \\ &= c_{(1)}e(c_{(2)}) \quad (56) \\ &= c \cdot e = c \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta \circ \alpha(\varphi \otimes_R r) = \psi_{\varphi(r_{[0]})r_{[1]}} \otimes_R e \tag{60}$$

where e is a right local unit for $\varphi(r_{[0]})r_{[1]}$ and thus also for r. Let us first compute

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\varphi(r_{[0]})r_{[1]}}(f) &= f(\varphi(r_{[0]})r_{[1]}) \\ &= \varphi(r_{[0]})f(r_{[1]}) = \varphi(r_{[0]}f(r_{[1]})) \\ &= \varphi(r * f) = (\varphi \cdot r)(f) \end{aligned}$$

We can now go on with (60),

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \circ \alpha(\varphi \otimes_R r) &= \varphi \cdot r \otimes_R e \\ &= \varphi \otimes_R r \cdot e = \varphi \otimes_R r. \end{aligned}$$

□

Since the existence of local units in R implies that ${}_RR$ is flat, by Theorem 4.4 and the previous lemma,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}({}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}, -) \otimes_R R \simeq -\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{C},$$

however, let us give the isomorphisms of this equivalence for completeness sake, they are very similar to the ones in the proof of Lemma 6.3. Take $M \in M^{\mathfrak{C}}$ then

$$\alpha : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}({}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}, M) \otimes_R R \to M\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{C} \cong M,$$

is an isomorphism where for all $\varphi \otimes_R r \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}({}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}, M) \otimes_R R$, $m \in M$, $\alpha(\varphi \otimes r) = \varphi(r)$ and $\alpha^{-1}(m) = \psi_m \otimes e$, where $\psi_m(f) = m \cdot f$ for all $f \in R$ and e is a right local unit for m.

It follows now that the pair of adjoint functors induced by the Galois comodule ${}^*\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{rat}}$ is can be written as

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{M}_R \arrow[r, shift left, "-\otimes_R R"] & \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \arrow[l, shift left, "-\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{C}"] \end{tikzcd}$$

Since $M \otimes_R R \cong M$ for every firm right R-module M and $N\Box_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{C} \cong N$ for every right $\mathfrak{C}$-comodule N, it is clear that these functors constitute the same equivalence of categories as mentioned in the beginning of this section.

7 Appendix: Flat modules over firm rings

In this section we will always consider R to be a firm ring. Our aim is to generalize some well-known facts about flat and injective modules over unital rings to the situation of firm rings. Our treatment is an adaptation of the one given in [28, Chapter I, Section 10].

Recall that M is called an injective right R-module if and only if M is an injective object in the category $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R$, which translates to the the fact that for every injective morphism $i : N \to N'$ of right R-modules, the induced map $\mathrm{Hom}_R(N', M) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, M)$ must be surjective.

Proposition 7.1. *A right R-module E is injective if and only if* $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R, E) \to \mathrm{Hom}(I, E)$ *is surjective for every right ideal I of R.*

Proof. In one direction the statement is trivial. For the other way, we start from a monomorphism $\alpha : L \to M$ and any morphism $\varphi : L \to E$. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{M} = \{\varphi' : L' \to E \mid L \subset L' \subset M, \text{ s.t. } \varphi' \text{ extends } \varphi\}.$$

We can equip $\mathcal{M}$ with a partial order, defining $\varphi' \leq \varphi''$ if and only if φ'' is a further extension.

Take a totally ordered $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and put $\tilde{L} = \sum_{L' \in \mathcal{T}} L'$, and define $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{L} \to E$ by the condition $\tilde{\varphi}(l) = \varphi'(l)$ for $l \in L' \in \mathcal{T}$. In this way we find an upper bound for every totally ordered $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and by the Zorn's Lemma, this implies the existence of a maximal element $\varphi_0 : L_0 \to E$ in $\mathcal{M}$. We have finished if we can prove that $L_0 = M$.

Suppose there exists a $x \in M$, $x \notin L_0$, we show it is possible to extend φ_0 to $\psi : L_0 + xR \to E$, from which the contradiction follows. Put $I = \{a \in R \mid xa \in L_0\}$, then I is a right ideal in R. Define $\beta : I \to E, \beta(a) = \varphi_0(xa)$. By hypothesis, there exists a $\varphi_R : R \to E$, extending β. For all $z \in L_0$ and $a \in R$, we define $\psi(z + xa) = \varphi_0(z) + \varphi_R(a)$. To see that ψ is well defined, suppose $z + xa = z' + xa'$ then $z - z' = x(a' - a)$ and we find $a' - a \in I$. By definition of φ_R we get then $\varphi_0(z - z') = \varphi_0(x(a' - a)) = \varphi_R(a' - a)$ and thus $\psi(z + xa) = \varphi_0(z) + \varphi_R(a) = \varphi_0(z') + \varphi_R(a') = \psi(z' + xa')$.

Finally, ψ is right R-linear and clearly it extends φ_0, which ends the proof. ☐

Proposition 7.2. *Consider F an $R-B$–bimodule, where B is a unital ring, and let E be an injective cogenerator for $\mathcal{M}_B$. Then F is flat as a left R-module if and only if* $\mathrm{Hom}_B(F, E)$ *is injective as a right R-module*

Proof. The proof is identical as in the classical case, since it makes no use of units at all (see e.g. [28]). ☐

Corollary 7.3. *A right R-module F is flat if and only if* $\hat{F} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ *is injective as right R-module.*

A right ideal I of R is said to be *of finite type* if $I = Ra_1 + \cdots + Ra_n$ for some $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R$.

Proposition 7.4. *A firm left R-module F is flat if and only if the multiplication map $\mu_I : I \otimes_R F \to F$ is injective for every ideal of finite type I of R.*

Proof. If F is flat, the statement is clear, so we only prove the other implication. Suppose that μ_I is injective for every right ideal I of finite type of R, then it is also the case for all right ideals J of R. Indeed, take $r_i \otimes y_i \in J \otimes_R F$ and let $r_i = r_i^{s_i} s_i$ be given by the equality $R^2 = R$. If we denote by $I = \sum r_i^{s_i} R$, which is of finite type, then the following diagram is commutative and μ_I is injective,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I \otimes_R F \arrow[rr, "\mu_I"] \arrow[dr] & & F \\
& J \otimes_R F \arrow[ur, "\mu_J"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows that $\mu_J(r_i \otimes y_i) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu_I(r_i \otimes y_i) = 0 \Leftrightarrow r_i \otimes y_i = 0$, so μ_J is injective as well.

Now we will construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_R(R, \hat{F}) \arrow[r, "\gamma"] \arrow[d, "\alpha", "\cong"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(J, \hat{F}) \arrow[d, "\cong", "\beta"'] \\
\hat{F} \arrow[r, "\delta"'] & \widehat{J \otimes_R F},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where, for any M, we define $\hat{M} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. For all $\Phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, \hat{F})$ we define define $\alpha(\Phi)(y) = \Phi(r)(y^r)$ for all $y \in F$. Conversely, we define $\alpha^{-1}(\Psi)(r)(y) = \Psi(ry)$ for all $\Psi \in \hat{F}, r \in R, y \in F$. One easily computes

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \circ \alpha^{-1}(\Psi)(y) &= \alpha^{-1}(\Psi)(r)(y^r) \\
&= \Psi(ry^r) = \Psi(y) \\
\alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha(\Phi)(r)(y) &= \alpha(\Phi)(ry) \\
&= \Phi(s)((ry)^s) = \Phi(r)(y).
\end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we used the R-linearity of Φ together with $s \otimes_R (ry)^s = r \otimes_R y$, which is based on the unique expression of the element ry mapped trough the isomorphism $d_M : M \to R \otimes_R M$. Analogously, define $\beta(a \otimes_R y) = \Phi(ar)(y^r)$ for every $\Phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(J, \hat{F})$ and $a \otimes_R y \in J \otimes_R F$, which is shown to be an isomorphism analogously to the case of α.

Since $\mu_J : J \otimes F \to F$ is mono and $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is injective, δ, which is the dual morphism of μ_J must be an epimorphism and by the diagram, γ is also epi. It follows from Proposition 7.1 that $\hat{F}$ is injective and thus F is flat by Corollary 7.3. $\square$

Acknowledgement

Joost Vercruysse would like to thank the Department of Algebra, University of Granada for hospitality during his stay, where this work initiated. This research has been partially supported by the grant BFM2004-01406 from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain.

References

[1] G.D. Abrams, *Morita equivalence for rings with local units*, Commun. Algebra **11** (1983), 801–837.

[2] J. Abuhlail, *Rational modules for corings*, Commun. Algebra **31** (2003), 5793–5840.

[3] P.N. Ánh and L. Márki, *Morita equivalence for rings without identity*, Tsukuba J. Math **11** (1987), 1–16.

[4] T. Brzeziński, *The structure of corings: Inductions functors, Maschke-type theorem, and Frobenius and Galois-type properties.* Algebras and Repr. Theory, **5** (2002), 389–410.

[5] T. Brzeziński, *Galois comodules*, J. Algebra, to appear.

[6] T. Brzeziński and J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *On comatrix corings and bimodules*, K-Theory **29** (2003), 101–115.

[7] T. Brzeziński, L. Kadison and R. Wisbauer, *On coseparable and biseparable corings*, in: "Hopf algebras in non-commutative geometry and physics", S. Caenepeel and F. Van Oystaeyen (eds.), Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics **239**, Marcel Dekker, New York 2005, 71–89.

[8] T. Brzeziński and R. Wisbauer, *Corings and comodules*, LMS, vol. 309, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[9] S. Caenepeel, E. De Groot and J. Vercruysse, *Galois theory for comatrix corings: descent theory, Morita theory, Frobenius and separability properties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.

[10] S. Caenepeel, E. De Groot and J. Vercruysse, *Constructing infinite Comatrix Corings from colimits*, preprint.

[11] S. Caenepeel and B. Femic, *The Brauer group of Azumaya corings and the second cohomology group*, K-Theory, to appear.

[12] S. Caenepeel, J. Vercruysse and Shuanhong Wang, *Rationality properties for Morita contexts associated to corings*, in "Hopf algebras in noncommutative Geometry and Physics", S. Caenepeel and F. Van Oystaeyen (eds.), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **239**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2005, 113–136.

[13] J. Cuadra and J Gómez-Torrecillas, *Galois corings and a Jacobson-Bourbaki type correspondence*, preprint arXiv:math.RA/0506291.

[14] E. De Groot, *Comatrix corings applied to weak and partial Galois theory*, Ph. D. Thesis, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, 2005.

[15] F.R. DeMeyer, *Some notes on the general galois theory of rings*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 117–127.

[16] L. El Kaoutit and J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *Comatrix corings: Galois corings, descent theory, and a structure theorem for cosemisimple corings.*, Math. Z. **244** (2003), 887–906.

[17] ______, *Infinite comatrix corings*, Int. J. Res. Notices **39** (2004), 2017–2037.

[18] L. El Kaoutit, J. Gómez-Torrecillas and F. J. Lobillo, *Semisimple corings*, Algebra Colloq. **11** (2004), 427–442.

[19] P. Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bull. Soc. math. France, **90** (1962), 323–448.

[20] P. Gabriel and N. Popescu, *Caractérisation des catégories abébilennes avec générateurs et limites inductives exactes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **258** (1964), 4188–4191.

[21] J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *Separable functors in corings.*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **30** (2002), 203–225.

[22] F. Grandjean and E.M. Vitale, *Morita equivalence for regular algebras*, Cahiers de Topology et Geometrie Differentielle **XXXIX** (1998), 137–153.

[23] T. Kanzaki, *On commutor rings and galois theory of separable algebras*, Osaka J. Math. **1** (1964), 103–115.

[24] L. Marin, *Morita equivalence based on contexts for various categories of modules over associative rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **133** (1998), 219–232.

[25] C. Năstăsescu, *Inele, Module, Categorii*, Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste Romania, Bucharest, 1976.

[26] P. Nuss, *Noncommutative descent and non-abelian cohomology*, K-Theory **12** (1997), 23–74.

[27] H. J. Schneider, *Principal homogeneous spaces for arbitrary Hopf algebras*, Israel J. Math. **72** (1990), 167–195.

[28] B. Stenström, *Rings of Quotients*, Springer, Berlin, 1975.

[29] M. Sweedler, *The predual theorem to the Jacobson-Bourbaki Theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **213** (1975), 391–406.

[30] J. Vercruysse, *Local units versus local projectivity. Dualisations : Corings with local structure maps*, preprint arXiv:math.RA/0409182

[31] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*, Gordon and Breach, Reading-Paris, 1991.

[32] B. Zimmermann-Huisgen, *Pure submodules of direct products of free modules*, Math. Ann. **224** (1976), no. 3, 233–245.